

## HRES 99

Providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 428) to survey the gradient boundary along the Red River in the States of Oklahoma and Texas, and for other purposes, and providing for consideration of the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 42) disapproving the rule submitted by the Department of Labor relating to drug testing of unemployment compensation applicants.

**Congress:** 115 (2017–2019, Ended)

**Chamber:** House

**Policy Area:** Congress

**Introduced:** Feb 7, 2017

**Current Status:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.

**Latest Action:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection. (Feb 14, 2017)

**Official Text:** <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/house-resolution/99>

### Sponsor

**Name:** Rep. Cole, Tom [R-OK-4]

**Party:** Republican • **State:** OK • **Chamber:** House

### Cosponsors

*No cosponsors are listed for this bill.*

### Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Rules Committee	House	Reported Original Measure	Feb 7, 2017

### Subjects & Policy Tags

#### Policy Area:

Congress

### Related Bills

Bill	Relationship	Last Action
115 HJRES 42	Procedurally related	<b>Mar 31, 2017:</b> Became Public Law No: 115-17.
115 HR 428	Related bill	<b>Mar 7, 2017:</b> Read twice and referred to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

### Summary (as of Feb 7, 2017)

Sets forth the rule for consideration of the bill (H.R. 428) to survey the gradient boundary along the Red River in the States of Oklahoma and Texas, and for other purposes, and providing for consideration of the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 42) disapproving the rule submitted by the Department of Labor relating to drug testing of unemployment compensation applicants.

## Actions Timeline

---

- **Feb 14, 2017:** Considered as privileged matter. (consideration: CR H1145-1151)
- **Feb 14, 2017:** DEBATE - The House proceeded with one hour of debate on H. Res. 99.
- **Feb 14, 2017:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on H. Res. 99, the Chair put the question on ordering the previous question and by voice vote announced that the ayes had prevailed. Mr. Hastings demanded the yeas and nays, and the Chair postponed further proceedings on ordering the previous question until later in the legislative day.
- **Feb 14, 2017:** Considered as unfinished business. (consideration: CR H1151-1152)
- **Feb 14, 2017:** On ordering the previous question Agreed to by the Yeas and Nays: 225 - 189 (Roll no. 88). (consideration: CR H1151-1152)
- **Feb 14, 2017:** Passed/agreed to in House: On agreeing to the resolution Agreed to by recorded vote: 225 - 187 (Roll no. 89).(text: CR H1145)
- **Feb 14, 2017:** On agreeing to the resolution Agreed to by recorded vote: 225 - 187 (Roll no. 89). (text: CR H1145)
- **Feb 14, 2017:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- **Feb 7, 2017:** Introduced in House
- **Feb 7, 2017:** The House Committee on Rules reported an original measure, H. Rept. 115-10, by Mr. Cole.
- **Feb 7, 2017:** Rule provides for one hour of debate on each bill, the previous question shall be ordered on each bill without intervening motions except one motion to recommit. Both bills are closed to amendments.
- **Feb 7, 2017:** Placed on the House Calendar, Calendar No. 10.