

HCONRES 42

Expressing the sense of Congress that the Supreme Court misinterpreted the First Amendment to the Constitution in the case of Buckley v. Valeo.

Congress: 115 (2017–2019, Ended)

Chamber: House

Policy Area: Government Operations and Politics

Introduced: Mar 29, 2017

Current Status: Referred to the Subcommittee on the Constitution and Civil Justice.

Latest Action: Referred to the Subcommittee on the Constitution and Civil Justice. (Mar 29, 2017)

Official Text: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/house-concurrent-resolution/42>

Sponsor

Name: Rep. Kaptur, Marcy [D-OH-9]

Party: Democratic • **State:** OH • **Chamber:** House

Cosponsors (11 total)

Cosponsor	Party / State	Role	Date Joined
Del. Norton, Eleanor Holmes [D-DC-At Large]	D · DC		Mar 29, 2017
Rep. Blumenauer, Earl [D-OR-3]	D · OR		Mar 29, 2017
Rep. Conyers, John, Jr. [D-MI-13]	D · MI		Mar 29, 2017
Rep. DeFazio, Peter A. [D-OR-4]	D · OR		Mar 29, 2017
Rep. Green, Gene [D-TX-29]	D · TX		Mar 29, 2017
Rep. Higgins, Brian [D-NY-26]	D · NY		Mar 29, 2017
Rep. Maloney, Sean Patrick [D-NY-18]	D · NY		Mar 29, 2017
Rep. Raskin, Jamie [D-MD-8]	D · MD		Mar 29, 2017
Rep. Schakowsky, Janice D. [D-IL-9]	D · IL		Mar 29, 2017
Rep. Slaughter, Louise McIntosh [D-NY-25]	D · NY		Mar 29, 2017
Rep. Soto, Darren [D-FL-9]	D · FL		Mar 29, 2017

Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Judiciary Committee	House	Referred to	Mar 29, 2017

Subjects & Policy Tags

Policy Area:

Government Operations and Politics

Related Bills

No related bills are listed.

Expresses the sense of Congress that the Supreme Court misinterpreted the First Amendment in the case of *Buckley v. Valeo* because the decision failed to recognize: (1) that the unlimited spending of large amounts of money on elections has a corrosive effect on the electoral process not simply because of direct transactions between those who give large amounts of money and candidates and elected officials but because the presence of unlimited amounts of money corrupts the process on a more fundamental level; and (2) other legitimate state interests which justify limiting money in campaigns, including the need to preserve the integrity of our republican form of government, restore public confidence in government, and ensure all citizens a more equal opportunity to participate in the political process.

Actions Timeline

- **Mar 29, 2017:** Introduced in House
- **Mar 29, 2017:** Sponsor introductory remarks on measure. (CR H2550, E411)
- **Mar 29, 2017:** Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary.
- **Mar 29, 2017:** Referred to the Subcommittee on the Constitution and Civil Justice.

LegiList

CONGRESS, MADE CLEAR.

Search Every Federal Bill, Law, and Vote

LegiList is the fastest way to research Congress. Track any bill from introduction to enactment, see how every legislator voted, follow committee activity, and read the full text of every bill — all in one place, always up to date.

legilist.com

Free Course: Learn How Congress Actually Works

LegiList Learn is a free, self-paced course that walks through the entire legislative process — from drafting a bill to a presidential signature. Seven modules, plain language, no politics. Earn a certificate when you finish.

legilist.com/learn

Developer API: Build Apps on Legislative Data

The LegiList API gives developers direct access to bills, votes, legislators, committees, and more. Start free with 1,000 requests per day — no credit card required. Upgrade to Pro when you need to scale.

legilist.com/api

Public data belongs to the public. — legilist.com