

HRES 311

Expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that Congress should confirm that money is not free speech and that corporations are not people for purposes of the First Amendment right to make campaign contributions by enacting a constitutional amendment overturning the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of *Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission*, and should restore the right of Congress and the States to impose limits on the amount of expenditures that may be made by candidates and others in support of elections for public office by enacting a constitutional amendment overturning the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of *Buckley v. Valeo*.

Congress: 114 (2015–2017, Ended)

Chamber: House

Policy Area: Government Operations and Politics

Introduced: Jun 12, 2015

Current Status: Referred to the Subcommittee on the Constitution and Civil Justice.

Latest Action: Referred to the Subcommittee on the Constitution and Civil Justice. (Jul 1, 2015)

Official Text: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/114th-congress/house-resolution/311>

Sponsor

Name: Rep. Nolan, Richard M. [D-MN-8]

Party: Democratic • **State:** MN • **Chamber:** House

Cosponsors

No cosponsors are listed for this bill.

Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Judiciary Committee	House	Referred to	Jul 1, 2015

Subjects & Policy Tags

Policy Area:

Government Operations and Politics

Related Bills

No related bills are listed.

Expresses the sense of the House of Representatives that, in order to overturn the Supreme Court decision in: (1) *Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission*, Congress should enact and the states should ratify a constitutional amendment providing that any right of a corporation, other business organization, or other artificial entity to engage in political activity, including making contributions and expenditures to influence an election for public office or a ballot measure, is not derived from the First Amendment but from the laws of the United States and the states and may be exercised only to the extent provided by such laws; and (2) *Buckley v. Valeo*, Congress should enact and the states should ratify a constitutional amendment providing that Congress and the states have the authority to impose limits on the amount of expenditures that may be made by candidates and others in support of elections for public office.

Actions Timeline

- **Jul 1, 2015:** Referred to the Subcommittee on the Constitution and Civil Justice.
- **Jun 12, 2015:** Introduced in House
- **Jun 12, 2015:** Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary.