

HRES 218

Expressing the sense of the House of Representatives regarding the conditions for the United States becoming a signatory to any international agreement on greenhouse gas emissions under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.

Congress: 114 (2015–2017, Ended)

Chamber: House

Policy Area: International Affairs

Introduced: Apr 21, 2015

Current Status: Referred to the House Committee on Foreign Affairs.

Latest Action: Referred to the House Committee on Foreign Affairs. (Apr 21, 2015)

Official Text: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/114th-congress/house-resolution/218>

Sponsor

Name: Rep. Sensenbrenner, F. James, Jr. [R-WI-5]

Party: Republican • **State:** WI • **Chamber:** House

Cosponsors (8 total)

Cosponsor	Party / State	Role	Date Joined
Rep. Ryan, Paul D. [R-WI-1]	R · WI		Apr 21, 2015
Rep. Smith, Lamar [R-TX-21]	R · TX		Apr 21, 2015
Rep. Babin, Brian [R-TX-36]	R · TX		May 18, 2015
Rep. Yoho, Ted S. [R-FL-3]	R · FL		Sep 24, 2015
Rep. Ribble, Reid J. [R-WI-8]	R · WI		Oct 7, 2015
Rep. Duncan, Jeff [R-SC-3]	R · SC		Nov 19, 2015
Rep. Rohrabacher, Dana [R-CA-48]	R · CA		Nov 19, 2015
Rep. Weber, Randy K., Sr. [R-TX-14]	R · TX		Nov 19, 2015

Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Foreign Affairs Committee	House	Referred To	Apr 21, 2015

Subjects & Policy Tags

Policy Area:

International Affairs

Related Bills

No related bills are listed.

Summary (as of Apr 21, 2015)

It is the sense of the House of Representatives that the United States should not be a signatory to any protocol to, or other agreement regarding, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change of 1992 which would:

- mandate new commitments to limit or reduce greenhouse gas emissions for the Annex I Parties unless the protocol or agreement also mandates new specific scheduled commitments to limit or reduce greenhouse gas emissions for Non-Annex I Parties within the same compliance period,
- not ensure that all parties to the agreement reduce an equal amount of greenhouse gas emissions at an equivalent rate within the same compliance period,
- result in serious harm to the U.S. economy, and
- not adequately protect U.S. intellectual property rights.

Actions Timeline

- **Apr 21, 2015:** Introduced in House
- **Apr 21, 2015:** Referred to the House Committee on Foreign Affairs.