

HRES 378

Expressing the sense of the House of Representatives regarding certain provisions of the Senate amendment to H.R. 2642 relating to the Secretary of Agriculture's administration of tariff-rate quotas for raw and refined sugar.

Congress: 113 (2013–2015, Ended)

Chamber: House

Policy Area: Congress

Introduced: Oct 11, 2013

Current Status: Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.

Latest Action: Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection. (Oct 12, 2013)

Official Text: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/113th-congress/house-resolution/378>

Sponsor

Name: Rep. Pitts, Joseph R. [R-PA-16]

Party: Republican • **State:** PA • **Chamber:** House

Cosponsors (3 total)

Cosponsor	Party / State	Role	Date Joined
Rep. Blumenauer, Earl [D-OR-3]	D · OR		Oct 11, 2013
Rep. Davis, Danny K. [D-IL-7]	D · IL		Oct 11, 2013
Rep. Goodlatte, Bob [R-VA-6]	R · VA		Oct 11, 2013

Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Agriculture Committee	House	Referred To	Oct 11, 2013
Ways and Means Committee	House	Referred To	Oct 11, 2013

Subjects & Policy Tags

Policy Area:

Congress

Related Bills

Bill	Relationship	Last Action
113 HR 2642	Related bill	Feb 7, 2014: Became Public Law No: 113-79.
113 HRES 380	Related bill	Oct 11, 2013: Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.

Expresses the sense of the House of Representatives that the House managers of the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the House amendment to the Senate amendment to the bill H.R. 2642 (Federal Agriculture Reform and Risk Management Act of 2013) should advance provisions to repeal the Administration of Tariff Rate Quotas language as added by the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008, and thus restore the authority of the Secretary of Agriculture (USDA) to manage sugar supplies to meet domestic demand at reasonable prices.

Actions Timeline

- **Oct 12, 2013:** Considered as unfinished business. (consideration: CR H6556)
- **Oct 12, 2013:** Failed of passage/not agreed to in House: On agreeing to the resolution Failed by the Yeas and Nays: 192 - 212, 1 Present (Roll no. 545).
- **Oct 12, 2013:** On agreeing to the resolution Failed by the Yeas and Nays: 192 - 212, 1 Present (Roll no. 545).
- **Oct 12, 2013:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- **Oct 11, 2013:** Introduced in House
- **Oct 11, 2013:** Referred to the Committee on Agriculture, and in addition to the Committee on Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.
- **Oct 11, 2013:** Considered under the provisions of rule H. Res. 380. (consideration: CR H6520-6527; text of measure as introduced: CR H6520)
- **Oct 11, 2013:** DEBATE - The House proceeded with one hour of debate on H. Res. 378.
- **Oct 11, 2013:** The previous question was ordered pursuant to the rule. (consideration: CR H6520)
- **Oct 11, 2013:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on H.Res. 378, the Chair put the question on agreeing to the resolution and by voice vote, announced that the ayes had prevailed. Mr. Peterson demanded the yeas and nays and the Chair postponed further proceedings on agreeing the resolution until a time to be announced.