

HR 6365

National Security and Job Protection Act

Congress: 112 (2011–2013, Ended)

Chamber: House

Policy Area: Economics and Public Finance

Introduced: Sep 10, 2012

Current Status: Received in the Senate and Read twice and referred to the Committee on the Budget.

Latest Action: Received in the Senate and Read twice and referred to the Committee on the Budget. (Sep 19, 2012)

Official Text: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/112th-congress/house-bill/6365>

Sponsor

Name: Rep. West, Allen B. [R-FL-22]

Party: Republican • **State:** FL • **Chamber:** House

Cosponsors (2 total)

Cosponsor	Party / State	Role	Date Joined
Rep. Granger, Kay [R-TX-12]	R · TX		Sep 11, 2012
Rep. Wilson, Joe [R-SC-2]	R · SC		Sep 11, 2012

Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Budget Committee	House	Referred To	Sep 10, 2012
Budget Committee	Senate	Referred To	Sep 19, 2012

Subjects & Policy Tags

Policy Area:

Economics and Public Finance

Related Bills

Bill	Relationship	Last Action
112 HRES 778	Related bill	Sep 13, 2012: Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.

(This measure has not been amended since it was introduced. The summary of that version is repeated here.)

National Security and Job Protection Act - Makes the effective date of this Act contingent upon enactment of: (1) the reconciliation Act with certain spending reductions for a specified deficit reduction contemplated by H.Con.Res. 112, as passed by the House of Representatives on March 16, 2012; or (2) similar legislation that achieves outlay reductions within five years after enactment that equal or exceed specified outlay reductions flowing from the budget authority reductions required by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Gramm-Rudman-Hollings Act), as in force immediately before enactment of this Act, as it applies to direct spending in the defense function for FY2013 combined with the outlay reductions flowing from the across-the-board decrease in discretionary spending made by this Act.

Amends the Gramm-Rudman-Hollings Act to abolish the distinction between security and nonsecurity categories of discretionary spending for new budget authority in FY2013. Combines the dollar amounts of the current categories (\$686 billion for the security category and \$361 billion for the nonsecurity category) into a single amount of \$1.047 trillion in new budget authority.

Revises sequestration requirements for FY2013 to require a \$19.104 billion across-the-board decrease in the discretionary spending category as of January 2, 2013.

Directs the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to issue a supplemental sequestration report for FY2013 to eliminate any discretionary spending breach of the \$1.047 trillion spending limit, as adjusted by the \$19.104 billion across-the-board reduction requirement of this Act. Directs the President to issue an order to eliminate the breach, if any, identified in such report.

Nullifies any sequestration order the President may issue under the Gramm-Rudman-Hollings Act to carry out reductions to direct spending for the FY2013 defense function (050).

Provides that, if the legislation referred to above is enacted and achieves the outlay reductions specified, and those reductions exceed the outlay reductions flowing from the spending budget authority reductions required by the Gramm-Rudman-Hollings Act, the direct spending reductions for the nonsecurity category for FY2013 (otherwise required to be reduced) shall be reduced by the difference.

Requires the President by October 15, 2012, to transmit to Congress a legislative proposal that meets such requirements.

Actions Timeline

- **Sep 19, 2012:** Received in the Senate and Read twice and referred to the Committee on the Budget.
- **Sep 13, 2012:** Considered under the provisions of rule H. Res. 778. (consideration: CR H5956-5969)
- **Sep 13, 2012:** The rule provides for consideration of H.J. Res. 117 and H.R. 6365, under a closed rule for both measures. Each are debatable for one hour. In both cases all points of order against provisions in the measure are waived, and in each case, one motion to recommit, with or without instructions is made in order.
- **Sep 13, 2012:** DEBATE - The House proceeded with one hour of debate on H.R. 6365.
- **Sep 13, 2012:** The previous question was ordered pursuant to the rule. (consideration: CR H5956-5969)
- **Sep 13, 2012:** Mr. Van Hollen moved to recommit with instructions to The Budget. (consideration: CR H5967-5969; text: CR H5967)
- **Sep 13, 2012:** DEBATE - The House proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Van Hollen motion to recommit with instructions. The instructions contained in the motion seek to require the bill to be reported back to the House with an amendment to strike sections 3 and 4 in the bill and replace with a new section outlining conditional elimination of sequestration and requirements of deficit reduction legislation.
- **Sep 13, 2012:** The previous question on the motion to recommit with instructions was ordered without objection. (consideration: CR H5968)
- **Sep 13, 2012:** On motion to recommit with instructions Failed by the Yeas and Nays: 170 - 247 (Roll no. 576).
- **Sep 13, 2012:** Passed/agreed to in House: On passage Passed by recorded vote: 223 - 196 (Roll no. 577).(text: CR H5957)
- **Sep 13, 2012:** On passage Passed by recorded vote: 223 - 196 (Roll no. 577). (text: CR H5957)
- **Sep 13, 2012:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- **Sep 10, 2012:** Introduced in House
- **Sep 10, 2012:** Referred to the House Committee on the Budget.