

HR 4078

Red Tape Reduction and Small Business Job Creation Act

Congress: 112 (2011–2013, Ended)

Chamber: House

Policy Area: Government Operations and Politics

Introduced: Feb 17, 2012

Current Status: Read the second time. Placed on Senate Legislative Calendar under General Orders. Calendar No. 477.

Latest Action: Read the second time. Placed on Senate Legislative Calendar under General Orders. Calendar No. 477. (Jul 31, 2012)

Official Text: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/112th-congress/house-bill/4078>

Sponsor

Name: Rep. Griffin, Tim [R-AR-2]

Party: Republican • **State:** AR • **Chamber:** House

Cosponsors (20 total)

Cosponsor	Party / State	Role	Date Joined
Rep. Adams, Sandy [R-FL-24]	R · FL		Feb 17, 2012
Rep. Amodei, Mark E. [R-NV-2]	R · NV		Feb 17, 2012
Rep. Carter, John R. [R-TX-31]	R · TX		Feb 17, 2012
Rep. Chabot, Steve [R-OH-1]	R · OH		Feb 17, 2012
Rep. Chaffetz, Jason [R-UT-3]	R · UT		Feb 17, 2012
Rep. Coble, Howard [R-NC-6]	R · NC		Feb 17, 2012
Rep. Franks, Trent [R-AZ-2]	R · AZ		Feb 17, 2012
Rep. Gallegly, Elton [R-CA-24]	R · CA		Feb 17, 2012
Rep. Gowdy, Trey [R-SC-4]	R · SC		Feb 17, 2012
Rep. Marino, Tom [R-PA-10]	R · PA		Feb 17, 2012
Rep. Poe, Ted [R-TX-2]	R · TX		Feb 17, 2012
Rep. Quayle, Benjamin [R-AZ-3]	R · AZ		Feb 17, 2012
Rep. Ross, Dennis A. [R-FL-12]	R · FL		Feb 17, 2012
Rep. Smith, Lamar [R-TX-21]	R · TX		Feb 17, 2012
Rep. Goodlatte, Bob [R-VA-6]	R · VA		Feb 27, 2012
Rep. Forbes, J. Randy [R-VA-4]	R · VA		Mar 5, 2012
Rep. Schweikert, David [R-AZ-5]	R · AZ		Mar 5, 2012
Rep. Duncan, Jeff [R-SC-3]	R · SC		Jun 5, 2012
Rep. Miller, Jeff [R-FL-1]	R · FL		Jun 7, 2012
Rep. Black, Diane [R-TN-6]	R · TN		Jul 11, 2012

Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Judiciary Committee	House	Discharged from	Mar 15, 2012
Oversight and Government Reform Committee	House	Reported By	Jul 20, 2012

Subjects & Policy Tags

Policy Area:

Government Operations and Politics

Related Bills

Bill	Relationship	Last Action
112 HRES 741	Procedurally related	Jul 26, 2012: Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
112 HRES 738	Related bill	Jul 24, 2012: Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
112 HR 4377	Related bill	Jul 17, 2012: Placed on the Union Calendar, Calendar No. 431.
112 S 3382	Related bill	Jul 12, 2012: Read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.
112 HR 3862	Related bill	Jul 11, 2012: Placed on the Union Calendar, Calendar No. 428.
112 HR 4607	Related bill	Jun 1, 2012: Placed on the Union Calendar, Calendar No. 361.
112 HR 373	Related bill	May 16, 2012: Placed on the Union Calendar, Calendar No. 338.
112 S 2373	Related bill	Apr 26, 2012: Read twice and referred to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.
112 HR 2308	Related bill	Apr 25, 2012: Placed on the Union Calendar, Calendar No. 317.
112 S 2368	Related bill	Apr 25, 2012: Read twice and referred to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.
112 S 2199	Related bill	Mar 15, 2012: Read twice and referred to the Committee on Finance.
112 HR 2898	Related bill	Oct 3, 2011: Referred to the Subcommittee on Regulatory Affairs, Stimulus Oversight and Government Spending .
112 S 1438	Related bill	Jul 28, 2011: Read twice and referred to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

Red Tape Reduction and Small Business Job Creation Act - **Title I: Regulatory Freeze for Jobs** - Regulatory Freeze for Jobs Act of 2012 - (Sec. 102) Prohibits a federal agency from taking any significant regulatory action until the Secretary of Labor reports to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) that the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) average of monthly unemployment rates for any quarter is 6% or less.

(Sec. 103) Permits an agency to take a significant regulatory action notwithstanding the freeze imposed by this Act if: (1) the President determines by executive order that such action is necessary because of an imminent threat to health or safety or other emergency, for the enforcement of criminal laws, for national security, or to implement an international trade agreement; or (2) the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) of OMB certifies in writing that such action is limited to repealing an existing rule. Provides for congressional waivers for significant regulatory actions that are not eligible for a presidential waiver but that are necessary to protect the public health, safety, or welfare upon submission of a request by the President.

(Sec. 104) Allows judicial review of: (1) any regulatory action taken in violation of this Act that adversely affects or aggrieves any individual, and (2) any determination by the President or the Secretary of Labor under this Act. Allows a court to suspend the granting of relief under this Act if the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the application or enforcement of a significant regulatory action is required to protect against an imminent and serious threat to national security.

Requires a court to award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to a small business (defined as a business that employs not more than 500 employees or that has a net worth of less than \$7 million) that prevails in any civil action arising under this title.

(Sec. 105) Excludes from the definition of "federal agency" the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Open Market Committee, or the U.S. Postal Service (USPS).

Defines "significant regulatory action" as any regulatory action that is likely to result in a rule or guidance that the Administrator finds is likely to have an annual cost to the economy of \$50 million or more or to adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, small entities, or state, local, or tribal governments or communities.

Title II: Midnight Rule Relief - Midnight Rule Relief Act of 2012 - (Sec. 202) Prohibits a federal agency from proposing or finalizing during the moratorium period any midnight rule that the OIRA Administrator finds is likely to result in such an annual cost or to have such an adverse effect.

Defines "midnight rule" as an agency statement of general applicability and future effect that is issued during the moratorium period, that is intended to have the force and effect of law, and that is designed to: (1) implement, interpret, or prescribe law or policy; or (2) describe the procedure or practice requirements of an agency. Defines "moratorium period" as the day after the Tuesday next after the first Monday in November in every fourth year succeeding a presidential election through January 20 of the following year in which a President is not serving a consecutive term.

(Sec. 203) Exempts from the moratorium any deadline: (1) for, relating to, or involving any midnight rule; (2) that was established before the beginning of the moratorium period; and (3) that is required to be taken during the moratorium period. Requires the Administrator, not later than 30 days after the beginning of a moratorium period, to identify and publish in the Federal Register a list of deadlines that are exempt.

(Sec. 204) Exempts from the moratorium any midnight rule that: (1) the President determines is necessary because of an imminent threat to health or safety or other emergency, to enforce criminal laws, to protect national security, or to implement an international trade agreement; or (2) the Administrator certifies in writing is limited to repealing an existing rule. Requires agency heads to publish in the Federal Register any midnight rule excluded from the moratorium period.

Title III: Regulatory Decrees and Settlements - Sunshine for Regulatory Decrees and Settlements Act of 2012 - (Sec. 302) Imposes new requirements upon a consent decree or settlement agreement in any action to compel agency action alleged to be unlawfully withheld or unreasonably delayed that pertains to a regulatory action that affects the rights of private parties other than the plaintiff or the rights of state, local, or tribal governments. Requires in any such action: (1) publication, including electronically, of the complaint, the consent decree or settlement agreement, and related documents in a readily accessible manner by the defendant agency; (2) conclusion of an opportunity for affected parties to intervene in an action before a party may file a motion for a consent decree or to dismiss the case pursuant to a settlement agreement; (3) referral to a mediation or alternative dispute resolution program after a motion to intervene is granted; (4) 60 days for public comment on a proposed consent decree or settlement agreement before it is filed with a court; and (5) approval by the Attorney General of any proposed consent decree or settlement agreement in cases litigated by the Department of Justice (DOJ) or by an agency head if the agency litigates a case independently.

Requires each agency to report to Congress annually on the number, identity, and content of complaints, consent decrees, and settlement agreements for the year, the statutory basis of each decree or agreement and its terms, and the award of attorney's fees or costs in actions resolved by such decrees or agreements.

(Sec. 303) Requires a court to grant de novo review to a previously entered consent decree if an agency files a motion to modify such decree on the basis that it is no longer fully in the public interest due to the agency's obligations to fulfill other duties or due to changed facts and circumstances.

Title IV: Unfunded Mandates Information and Transparency - Unfunded Mandates Information and Transparency Act of 2012 - (Sec. 402) States as the purpose of this title to: (1) improve the quality of the deliberations of Congress with respect to proposed federal mandates by providing Congress and the public with more complete information about the effects of such mandates and by ensuring that Congress acts on such mandates only after focused deliberation on their effects; and (2) enhance the ability of Congress and the public to identify federal mandates that may impose undue harm on consumers, workers, employers, small businesses, and state, local, and tribal governments.

(Sec. 403) Amends the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to: (1) require the Congressional Budget Office (CBO), at the request of the chairman or ranking member of a congressional committee, to conduct an assessment comparing the authorized level of funding in legislation to the prospective costs of carrying out any changes to a condition of federal assistance being imposed on state, local, or tribal governments participating in the federal assistance program; (2) modify the definition of "direct costs" to require CBO to consider, in accounting for the costs of federal mandates, forgone business profits, costs passed onto consumers and other entities, and behavioral changes; and (3) eliminate the exemption of independent regulatory agencies (other than the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System or the Federal Open Market Committee) from requirements under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA);

(Sec. 406) Amends UMRA to: (1) transfer certain responsibilities under such Act from the Director of OMB to the OIRA Administrator; (2) set forth detailed criteria to guide agencies in assessing the effects of federal regulatory actions on state, local, and tribal governments and the private sector; (3) revise requirements for agency statements accompanying significant regulatory actions to require an analysis of the annual effect of a proposed final rule on state, local, or tribal governments or the private sector and to require all statements and summaries under UMRA to be detailed; (4) extend to

the the private sector (including small businesses) the requirement for consultation with agencies in the development of regulatory proposals containing significant federal mandates; and (5) set forth detailed guidelines for such consultation.

(Sec. 411) Revises UMRA reporting requirements to require: (1) the Administrator to provide guidance and oversight so that agency regulations are consistent with the principles and policies of UMRA and do not conflict with the policies or actions of another agency; and (2) agencies to include in their annual compliance statements an appendix detailing consultation activities with state, local, and tribal governments and the private sector.

(Sec. 412) Amends UMRA to require an agency, at the request of the chairman or ranking member of a standing or select House or Senate Committee, to conduct a retrospective analysis of an existing regulation promulgated by such agency and submit to the chairman of the relevant committee, Congress, and the Comptroller General (GAO) a report on such regulation.

(Sec. 413) Expands judicial review under UMRA to include review of provisions of such Act relating to agency assessment of the effects of the regulatory process and agency selection of the least costly or least burdensome alternative to a regulatory mandate. Grants courts expanded powers to compel agencies to comply with UMRA reporting requirements.

Title V: Improved Coordination of Agency Actions on Environmental Documents - Responsibly And Professionally Invigorating Development Act of 2012 or the RAPID Act - (Sec. 502) Declares that the purpose of this title to is establish a framework and procedures to streamline, increase the efficiency of, and enhance coordination of agency administration of the regulatory review, environmental decisionmaking, and permitting process under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) for major federal actions that are construction activities undertaken with federal funds or that require approval by a permit or regulatory decisions issued by a federal agency (defined as "projects" by this Act).

Authorizes project sponsors to prepare any document for purposes of an environmental review under NEPA if the lead agency (i.e., the agency preparing the environmental document) furnishes oversight in the preparation of such document and independently evaluates, approves, and adopts such document prior to taking action or making any approval based on such document.

Provides that not more than one environmental impact statement and one environmental assessment may be prepared for a project, except for supplemental environmental documents prepared under NEPA or pursuant to a court order.

Allows a lead agency to adopt, use, or rely upon environmental documents prepared under NEPA for projects in the same geographic area or documents prepared for a project under state laws if such laws provide environmental protection and opportunities for public involvement that are substantially equivalent to those provided in NEPA.

Requires a lead agency to invite and designate federal agencies to participate in a multiagency NEPA review process. Precludes any agency that declines to participate in such review process from submitting comments on, or taking measures to oppose: (1) a project; (2) any document prepared under NEPA for such project; or (3) any permit, license, or approval related to such project.

Imposes deadlines on various stages of the NEPA review process, including a two-year deadline for completing environmental impact statements and issuing a record of decision. Requires agencies participating in the review process to comply with such deadlines. Deems a project to be approved in the event that an agency fails to approve or disapprove a project within such deadlines.

Requires a lead agency to provide an opportunity for involvement by cooperating agencies in determining the range of alternatives to be considered for a project. Prohibits an agency from being required to evaluate an alternative that was identified but not carried forward for detailed evaluation in, or evaluated and not selected in, any environmental document prepared under NEPA for the same project.

Requires the head of each federal agency to report annually to Congress on: (1) the projects for which the agency initiated preparation of an environmental impact statement or environmental assessment; (2) the projects for which the agency issued a record of decision or a finding of no significant impact and the length of time it took the agency to complete the environmental review for each such project; (3) the filing of any lawsuits against the agency seeking judicial review of a permit, license, or approval issued by the agency for an action subject to NEPA; and (4) the resolution of any such lawsuits against the agency.

Imposes a time limitation for filing a claim seeking judicial review of a permit, license, or approval issued by an agency for an action subject to NEPA.

Title VI: Securities and Exchange Commission Regulatory Accountability - SEC Regulatory Accountability Act - (Sec. 602) Amends the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to direct the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), before issuing a regulation under the securities laws, to: (1) identify the nature and source of the problem that the proposed regulation is designed to address in order to assess whether any new regulation is warranted; (2) use the SEC Chief Economist to assess the costs and benefits of the intended regulation and adopt it only upon a reasoned determination that its benefits justify the costs; (3) identify and assess available alternatives that were considered; and (4) ensure that any regulation is accessible, consistent, written in plain language, and easy to understand.

Requires the SEC to: (1) consider whether the rulemaking will promote efficiency, competition, and capital formation; (2) consider the impact of the regulation upon investor choice, market liquidity, and small business; (3) explain in its final rule the nature of comments received concerning the proposed rule or rule change; and (4) respond to those comments, explaining any changes made in response and the reasons that it did not incorporate industry group concerns regarding potential costs or benefits.

Requires the SEC to: (1) review its existing regulations periodically to determine if they are outmoded, ineffective, insufficient, or excessively burdensome; and (2) modify, streamline, expand, or repeal them.

Requires the SEC, whenever it adopts or amends a major rule, to state in its adopting release: (1) the purposes and intended consequences of the regulation, (2) the post-implementation quantitative and qualitative metrics to measure the economic impact of the regulation and the extent to which it has accomplished the stated purposes, (3) the assessment plan that will be used under the supervision of the Chief Economist to assess whether the regulation has achieved those purposes, and (4) any foreseeable unintended or negative consequences. Requires the assessment plan to: (1) consider the costs, benefits, and intended and unintended consequences of the regulation; and (2) specify the data to be collected, the methods for its collection and analysis, and an assessment completion date.

Waives notice and comment requirements for the data collection if the SEC has published its assessment plan for notice and comment at least 30 days before adoption of a final regulation or amendment.

(Sec. 603) Expresses the sense of Congress that other regulatory entities, including the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, and any national securities association registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, should also follow the requirements set forth by this title.

(Sec. 604) Nullifies interpretative guidance previously issued by the SEC regarding disclosure related to climate change and restricts SEC authority to issue future guidance on such matter.

Title VII: Consideration by Commodity Futures Trading Commission of Certain Costs and Benefits - (Sec. 701)

Amends the Commodity Exchange Act to expand the considerations for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) in assessing the costs and benefits, both qualitative and quantitative, of intended regulation. Requires the CFTC to propose or adopt a regulation only on a reasoned determination that the benefits justify the costs and to consider available alternatives to direct regulation and whether the regulation is inconsistent, incompatible, or duplicative of other federal regulations.

Title VIII: Ensuring High Standards for Agency Use of Scientific Information - (Sec. 801) Requires each federal agency, not later than January 1, 2013, to have in effect guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of scientific information relied upon by such agency. Deems any policy decision of an agency that does not comply with approved guidelines to be arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, and otherwise not in accordance with law.

Title IX: Tracking the Cost to Taxpayers of Federal Litigation - Tracking the Cost to Taxpayers of Federal Litigation Act - (Sec. 902) Expands requirements for accounting for fees and costs incurred by the federal government in litigating with private parties in regulatory matters, including the cost of settlement agreements. Requires the Chairman of the Administrative Conference of the United States to create and maintain an online searchable database for each award of fees or other expenses, which shall include: (1) the name of each party to whom an award was made, (2) the name of each counsel of record, (3) the agency to which the application for the award was made, (4) the name of the administrative law judge, (5) the amount of the award, (6) the names and hourly rates of each expert witness, and (7) the basis for the finding that the position of the agency was not substantially justified.

Actions Timeline

- **Jul 31, 2012:** Read the second time. Placed on Senate Legislative Calendar under General Orders. Calendar No. 477.
- **Jul 30, 2012:** Received in the Senate. Read the first time. Placed on Senate Legislative Calendar under Read the First Time.
- **Jul 26, 2012:** Rule H. Res. 741 passed House.
- **Jul 26, 2012:** Considered as unfinished business. (consideration: CR H5307-5320)
- **Jul 26, 2012:** The House resolved into Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for further consideration.
- **Jul 26, 2012:** UNFINISHED BUSINESS - The Chair announced that the unfinished business was the question of adoption of amendments which had been debated earlier and on which further proceedings had been postponed.
- **Jul 26, 2012:** The House rose from the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union to report H.R. 4078.
- **Jul 26, 2012:** The previous question was ordered without objection. (consideration: CR H5318)
- **Jul 26, 2012:** The House adopted the amendment in the nature of a substitute as agreed to by the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union. (text of amendment in the nature of a substitute: CR 07/25/2012 H5244-5251)
- **Jul 26, 2012:** Ms. Sutton moved to recommit with instructions to Oversight and Government. (consideration: CR H5318-5319; text: CR H5318)
- **Jul 26, 2012:** DEBATE - The House proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Sutton motion to recommit with instructions. The instructions contained in the motion seek to require the bill to be reported back to the House with an amendment to prohibit the underlying bill from imposing any limitation on agency action that would require the disclosure of a foreign financial account, including a bank account; implement tax cuts for middle class American families; protect against Asian Carp and other invasive species; ensure the safety of prescription drugs; or provide foreclosure relief and curb predatory practices by bank and non-bank subprime lenders.
- **Jul 26, 2012:** The previous question on the motion to recommit with instructions was ordered without objection. (consideration: CR H5319)
- **Jul 26, 2012:** On motion to recommit with instructions Failed by the Yeas and Nays: 181 - 234 (Roll no. 535).
- **Jul 26, 2012:** Passed/agreed to in House: On passage Passed by recorded vote: 245 - 172 (Roll no. 536).
- **Jul 26, 2012:** On passage Passed by recorded vote: 245 - 172 (Roll no. 536).
- **Jul 26, 2012:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** Considered under the provisions of rule H. Res. 738. (consideration: CR H5225-5264)
- **Jul 25, 2012:** The resolution provides for consideration of H.R. 4078 and H.R. 6082. H.R. 4078 is accorded 2 hours of general debate and H.R. 6082 is accorded 1 hour of general debate. For H.R. 4078, specified amendments printed in Part B of the report are in order and for H.R. 6082, specified amendments printed in Part C are in order. The resolution provides for one motion to recommit with or without instructions for each bill.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union pursuant to H. Res. 738 and Rule XVIII.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** The Speaker designated the Honorable Candice S. Miller to act as Chairwoman of the Committee.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** GENERAL DEBATE - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with two hours of general debate on H.R. 4078.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Hastings(FL) amendment No. 1
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Hastings (FL) amendment No. 1, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Hastings (FL) demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Johnson (GA) amendment No. 2.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Johnson(GA) amendment No. 2, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Johnson(GA) demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Kucinich amendment No. 3.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Kucinich amendment No. 3, the Chair

put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Kucinich demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.

- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Welch (VT) amendment No. 4.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Welch (VT) amendment No. 4, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Welch (VT) demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Markey amendment No. 5.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Markey amendment No. 5, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Markey demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** UNFINISHED BUSINESS - The Chair announced that the unfinished business was the question on adoption of amendments which had been debated earlier and on which further proceedings had been postponed.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** Mr. Griffin (AR) moved that the Committee now rise.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** On motion that the Committee now rise Agreed to by voice vote.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** Considered as unfinished business. (consideration: CR H5264-5289)
- **Jul 25, 2012:** The House resolved into Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for further consideration.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Watt amendment No. 6.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** Rules Committee Resolution H. Res. 741 Reported to House. Rule provides for consideration of H.R. 4078 with 1 hour of general debate. The resolution provides that the amendment to H.R. 4078 printed in section 2 of the resolution shall be considered as adopted in the House and in the Committee of the Whole. The resolution does not provide any waivers.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - the conclusion of debate on the Watt amendment No. 6, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Watt demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Loeb sack amendment No. 7.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Loeb sack amendment No. 7, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Loeb sack demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Richardson amendment No. 8.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Richardson amendment No. 8, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed. Mrs. Richardson demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Richardson amendment No. 9.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Richardson amendment No. 9, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed. Mrs. Richardson demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Connolly (VA) amendment No. 10.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Connolly (VA) amendment No. 10, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed.

Mr. Connolly (VA) demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.

- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Posey amendment No. 11.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Posey (FL) amendment No. 11, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Posey (FL) demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Nadler amendment No. 12.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Nadler (NY) amendment No. 12, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Nadler (NY) demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the McKinley amendment No. 13.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the McKinley (WV) amendment No. 12, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the ayes had prevailed. Mr. McKinley (WV) demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Schweikert (AZ) amendment No. 14.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the George Miller (CA) amendment No. 15.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the George Miller (CA) amendment No. 15, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. George Miller (CA) demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Woolsey amendment No. 16.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Woolsey (CA) amendment No. 16, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed. Ms. Woolsey (CA) demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Waters amendment No. 18.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Waters (CA) amendment No. 18, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed. Ms. Waters (CA) demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Fitzpatrick (PA) amendment No. 19.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Fitzpatrick (PA) amendment No. 19, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the ayes had prevailed. Mr. Frank (MA) demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Posey amendment No. 20.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Posey (FL) amendment No. 20, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the ayes had prevailed. Mr. Cummings demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Maloney (NY) amendment No. 21.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Maloney (NY) amendment No. 21,

the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed. Mrs. Maloney (NY) demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.

- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Manzullo amendment No. 22.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Lummis amendment No. 23.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 738, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Posey amendment No. 25.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Posey (FL) amendment No. 25, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment, and by voice vote announced that the ayes had prevailed. Mr. Frank (MA) demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** Mr. Lankford moved that the Committee rise.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** On motion that the Committee rise Agreed to by voice vote.
- **Jul 25, 2012:** Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union rises leaving H.R. 4078 as unfinished business.
- **Jul 20, 2012:** Reported (Amended) by the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform. H. Rept. 112-461, Part II.
- **Jul 20, 2012:** Placed on the Union Calendar, Calendar No. 446.
- **Jul 19, 2012:** Mr. Jordan asked unanimous consent that the Committee on Oversight and Government have until midnight on July 20 to file a report on H.R. 4078. Agreed to without objection.
- **Apr 27, 2012:** Reported (Amended) by the Committee on Judiciary. H. Rept. 112-461, Part I.
- **Apr 26, 2012:** Committee Consideration and Mark-up Session Held.
- **Apr 26, 2012:** Ordered to be Reported (Amended) by the Yeas and Nays: 21 - 16.
- **Mar 20, 2012:** Committee Consideration and Mark-up Session Held.
- **Mar 20, 2012:** Ordered to be Reported (Amended) by the Yeas and Nays: 15 - 13.
- **Mar 15, 2012:** Subcommittee on Courts, Commercial and Administrative Law Discharged.
- **Feb 27, 2012:** Subcommittee Hearings Held.
- **Feb 23, 2012:** Referred to the Subcommittee on Courts, Commercial and Administrative Law.
- **Feb 17, 2012:** Introduced in House
- **Feb 17, 2012:** Referred to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

LegiList

CONGRESS, MADE CLEAR.

Search Every Federal Bill, Law, and Vote

LegiList is the fastest way to research Congress. Track any bill from introduction to enactment, see how every legislator voted, follow committee activity, and read the full text of every bill — all in one place, always up to date.

legilist.com

Free Course: Learn How Congress Actually Works

LegiList Learn is a free, self-paced course that walks through the entire legislative process — from drafting a bill to a presidential signature. Seven modules, plain language, no politics. Earn a certificate when you finish.

legilist.com/learn

Developer API: Build Apps on Legislative Data

The LegiList API gives developers direct access to bills, votes, legislators, committees, and more. Start free with 1,000 requests per day — no credit card required. Upgrade to Pro when you need to scale.

legilist.com/api

Public data belongs to the public. — legilist.com