

## HR 3788

### E911 Surcharge Fairness Act of 2011

**Congress:** 112 (2011–2013, Ended)

**Chamber:** House

**Policy Area:** Taxation

**Introduced:** Jan 18, 2012

**Current Status:** Referred to the Subcommittee on Courts, Commercial and Administrative Law.

**Latest Action:** Referred to the Subcommittee on Courts, Commercial and Administrative Law. (Jan 25, 2012)

**Official Text:** <https://www.congress.gov/bill/112th-congress/house-bill/3788>

## Sponsor

**Name:** Rep. Davis, Danny K. [D-IL-7]

**Party:** Democratic • **State:** IL • **Chamber:** House

## Cosponsors

No cosponsors are listed for this bill.

## Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Energy and Commerce Committee	House	Referred To	Jan 18, 2012
Judiciary Committee	House	Referred to	Jan 25, 2012

## Subjects & Policy Tags

### Policy Area:

Taxation

## Related Bills

No related bills are listed.

E911 Surcharge Fairness Act of 2011 - Prohibits any state or local government from: (1) imposing a new unfair or inequitable E911 fee, tax, or surcharge with respect to any prepaid mobile service, provider, or customer; or (2) enforcing a new or existing E911 fee in an unfair or inequitable manner.

Defines "E911 fee" as any 911 or E911 fee, tax, or surcharge specifically imposed or designated by a state or local government for the support of 911 or E911 communications systems and related public safety purposes.

Defines as "unfair or inequitable" any E911 fee that: (1) cannot be collected from end-users who are the intended payors of the fees under the existing wireless business model of the prepaid mobile service provider, or (2) is enforced in a manner that imposes strict liability on a prepaid mobile service provider for its inability to collect such fee as so prescribed.

Defines an "unfair or inequitable manner" as: (1) the imposition against a prepaid mobile service provider or seller of any E911 fee that the provider cannot collect from its end-user customers who are the intended payors of the fees under its existing wireless business model, (2) the enforcement of an E911 fee against a prepaid mobile service provider in a manner that creates strict liability for the provider or seller for its inability to collect as so prescribed, or (3) the imposition of a E911 fee in a manner that results in the fee being subject to other state or local taxes when such taxes are not equally imposed on the E911 fees paid by postpaid mobile service customers.

## **Actions Timeline**

---

- **Jan 25, 2012:** Referred to the Subcommittee on Courts, Commercial and Administrative Law.
- **Jan 18, 2012:** Introduced in House
- **Jan 18, 2012:** Referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.