

## HR 1059

To protect the safety of judges by extending the authority of the Judicial Conference to redact sensitive information contained in their financial disclosure reports, and for other purposes.

**Congress:** 112 (2011–2013, Ended)

**Chamber:** House

**Policy Area:** Law

**Introduced:** Mar 14, 2011

**Current Status:** Became Public Law No: 112-84.

**Latest Action:** Became Public Law No: 112-84. (Jan 3, 2012)

**Law:** 112-84 (Enacted Jan 3, 2012)

**Official Text:** <https://www.congress.gov/bill/112th-congress/house-bill/1059>

### Sponsor

**Name:** Rep. Conyers, John, Jr. [D-MI-14]

**Party:** Democratic • **State:** MI • **Chamber:** House

### Cosponsors (2 total)

Cosponsor	Party / State	Role	Date Joined
Rep. Cohen, Steve [D-TN-9]	D · TN		Mar 14, 2011
Rep. Johnson, Henry C. "Hank," Jr. [D-GA-4]	D · GA		Mar 14, 2011

### Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee	Senate	Reported By	Nov 15, 2011
Judiciary Committee	House	Referred to	Mar 21, 2011

### Subjects & Policy Tags

**Policy Area:**

Law

### Related Bills

*No related bills are listed.*

### Summary (as of Jan 3, 2012)

**(This measure has not been amended since it was reported to the Senate on November 15, 2011. The summary of that version is repeated here.)**

Revises the Ethics in Government Act of 1978 to extend until December 31, 2017, the Judicial Conference's authority to redact financial disclosure reports filed by a judicial officer or employee if it finds that revealing personal and sensitive information could endanger that individual or a family member of that individual.

## Actions Timeline

---

- **Jan 3, 2012:** Signed by President.
- **Jan 3, 2012:** Became Public Law No: 112-84.
- **Dec 23, 2011:** Presented to President.
- **Dec 20, 2011:** Considered as unfinished business. (consideration: CR H9999)
- **Dec 20, 2011:** Resolving differences -- House actions: On motion that the House suspend the rules and agree to the Senate amendment Agreed to by voice vote.(text as House agreed to Senate amendment: CR 12/19/2011 H9937-9938)
- **Dec 20, 2011:** On motion that the House suspend the rules and agree to the Senate amendment Agreed to by voice vote. (text as House agreed to Senate amendment: CR 12/19/2011 H9937-9938)
- **Dec 20, 2011:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- **Dec 19, 2011:** Mr. Smith (TX) moved that the House suspend the rules and agree to the Senate amendment. (consideration: CR H9937-9938)
- **Dec 19, 2011:** DEBATE - The House proceeded with forty minutes of debate on agreeing to the Senate amendment to H.R. 1059.
- **Dec 19, 2011:** At the conclusion of debate, the chair put the question on the motion to suspend the rules. Mr. Smith (TX) objected to the vote on the grounds that a quorum was not present. Further proceedings on the motion were postponed. The point of no quorum was withdrawn.
- **Nov 18, 2011:** Message on Senate action sent to the House.
- **Nov 17, 2011:** Passed/agreed to in Senate: Passed Senate with an amendment by Unanimous Consent.(consideration: CR S7776-7777; text as passed Senate: CR S7777)
- **Nov 17, 2011:** Passed Senate with an amendment by Unanimous Consent. (consideration: CR S7776-7777; text as passed Senate: CR S7777)
- **Nov 15, 2011:** Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs. Reported by Senator Lieberman with an amendment. Without written report.
- **Nov 15, 2011:** Placed on Senate Legislative Calendar under General Orders. Calendar No. 232.
- **Oct 19, 2011:** Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs. Ordered to be reported with an amendment favorably.
- **Sep 13, 2011:** Received in the Senate and Read twice and referred to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.
- **Sep 12, 2011:** Mr. Coble moved to suspend the rules and pass the bill.
- **Sep 12, 2011:** Considered under suspension of the rules. (consideration: CR H6048-6049)
- **Sep 12, 2011:** DEBATE - The House proceeded with forty minutes of debate on H.R. 1059.
- **Sep 12, 2011:** At the conclusion of debate, the Yeas and Nays were demanded and ordered. Pursuant to the provisions of clause 8, rule XX, the Chair announced that further proceedings on the motion would be postponed.
- **Sep 12, 2011:** Considered as unfinished business. (consideration: CR H6052)
- **Sep 12, 2011:** Passed/agreed to in House: On motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill Agreed to by the Yeas and Nays: (2/3 required): 384 - 0 (Roll no. 701).(text: CR H6048)
- **Sep 12, 2011:** On motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill Agreed to by the Yeas and Nays: (2/3 required): 384 - 0 (Roll no. 701). (text: CR H6048)
- **Sep 12, 2011:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- **Jul 29, 2011:** Reported by the Committee on Judiciary. H. Rept. 112-189.
- **Jul 29, 2011:** Placed on the Union Calendar, Calendar No. 123.
- **Jul 20, 2011:** Committee Consideration and Mark-up Session Held.
- **Jul 20, 2011:** Ordered to be Reported by Voice Vote.
- **Mar 21, 2011:** Referred to the Subcommittee on Courts, Commercial and Administrative Law.
- **Mar 14, 2011:** Introduced in House
- **Mar 14, 2011:** Sponsor introductory remarks on measure. (CR E475)
- **Mar 14, 2011:** Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary.