

HR 6540

Defense Level Playing Field Act

Congress: 111 (2009–2011, Ended)

Chamber: House

Policy Area: Armed Forces and National Security

Introduced: Dec 17, 2010

Current Status: Referred to the Subcommittee on Air and Land Forces.

Latest Action: Referred to the Subcommittee on Air and Land Forces. (Dec 23, 2010)

Official Text: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/111th-congress/house-bill/6540>

Sponsor

Name: Rep. Inslee, Jay [D-WA-1]

Party: Democratic • State: WA • Chamber: House

Cosponsors (5 total)

Cosponsor	Party / State	Role	Date Joined
Rep. Blunt, Roy [R-MO-7]	R · MO		Dec 17, 2010
Rep. Larsen, Rick [D-WA-2]	D · WA		Dec 17, 2010
Rep. McDermott, Jim [D-WA-7]	D · WA		Dec 17, 2010
Rep. Moran, Jerry [R-KS-1]	R · KS		Dec 17, 2010
Rep. Tiahrt, Todd [R-KS-4]	R · KS		Dec 17, 2010

Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Armed Services Committee	Senate	Referred To	Dec 22, 2010
Armed Services Committee	House	Referred to	Dec 23, 2010

Subjects & Policy Tags

Policy Area:

Armed Forces and National Security

Related Bills

No related bills are listed.

(This measure has not been amended since it was introduced. The summary of that version is repeated here.)

Defense Level Playing Field Act - Requires the Secretary of Defense (DOD), in evaluating offers to award a contract for the KC-X aerial refueling aircraft program, to consider any unfair advantage that an offeror may possess.

Directs the Secretary to: (1) report to the congressional defense committees on any such advantage; and (2) take into account the findings of such report in awarding a contract.

Actions Timeline

- **Dec 23, 2010:** Referred to the Subcommittee on Air and Land Forces.
- **Dec 22, 2010:** Read twice and referred to the Committee on Armed Services.
- **Dec 21, 2010:** Mr. Inslee moved to suspend the rules and pass the bill.
- **Dec 21, 2010:** Considered under suspension of the rules. (consideration: CR H8798-8801)
- **Dec 21, 2010:** DEBATE - The House proceeded with forty minutes of debate on H.R. 6540.
- **Dec 21, 2010:** At the conclusion of debate, the Yeas and Nays were demanded and ordered. Pursuant to the provisions of clause 8, rule XX, the Chair announced that further proceedings on the motion would be postponed.
- **Dec 21, 2010:** Considered as unfinished business. (consideration: CR H8819-8820)
- **Dec 21, 2010:** Passed/agreed to in House: On motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill Agreed to by the Yeas and Nays: (2/3 required): 325 - 23 (Roll no. 658).(text: CR H8798)
- **Dec 21, 2010:** On motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill Agreed to by the Yeas and Nays: (2/3 required): 325 - 23 (Roll no. 658). (text: CR H8798)
- **Dec 21, 2010:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- **Dec 21, 2010:** Received in the Senate.
- **Dec 17, 2010:** Introduced in House
- **Dec 17, 2010:** Referred to the House Committee on Armed Services.