

HR 3013

Attorney-Client Privilege Protection Act of 2007

Congress: 110 (2007–2009, Ended)

Chamber: House

Policy Area: Crime and Law Enforcement

Introduced: Jul 12, 2007

Current Status: Received in the Senate and Read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Latest Action: Received in the Senate and Read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary. (Nov 14, 2007)

Official Text: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/110th-congress/house-bill/3013>

Sponsor

Name: Rep. Scott, Robert C. "Bobby" [D-VA-3]

Party: Democratic • **State:** VA • **Chamber:** House

Cosponsors (11 total)

Cosponsor	Party / State	Role	Date Joined
Rep. Coble, Howard [R-NC-6]	R · NC		Jul 12, 2007
Rep. Conyers, John, Jr. [D-MI-14]	D · MI		Jul 12, 2007
Rep. Davis, Artur [D-AL-7]	D · AL		Jul 12, 2007
Rep. Feeney, Tom [R-FL-24]	R · FL		Jul 12, 2007
Rep. Forbes, J. Randy [R-VA-4]	R · VA		Jul 12, 2007
Rep. Lungren, Daniel E. [R-CA-3]	R · CA		Jul 12, 2007
Rep. Roskam, Peter J. [R-IL-6]	R · IL		Jul 12, 2007
Rep. Smith, Lamar [R-TX-21]	R · TX		Jul 12, 2007
Rep. Delahunt, William D. [D-MA-10]	D · MA		Jul 27, 2007
Rep. Bean, Melissa L. [D-IL-8]	D · IL		Jul 31, 2007
Rep. Nadler, Jerrold [D-NY-8]	D · NY		Jul 31, 2007

Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Judiciary Committee	House	Reported by	Jul 24, 2007
Judiciary Committee	Senate	Referred To	Nov 15, 2007

Subjects & Policy Tags

Policy Area:

Crime and Law Enforcement

Related Bills

Bill	Relationship	Last Action
110 S 3217	Related bill	Jun 26, 2008: Read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.
110 S 186	Identical bill	Sep 18, 2007: Committee on the Judiciary. Hearings held. Hearings printed: S.Hrg. 110-280.

Attorney-Client Privilege Protection Act of 2007 - Amends the federal criminal code to prohibit any U.S. agent or attorney, in any federal investigation or criminal or civil enforcement matter, from demanding, requesting, or conditioning treatment ("giving cooperation credit") on the disclosure by an organization (or affiliated person) of any communication protected by the attorney-client privilege or any attorney work product.

Prohibits a U.S. agent or attorney from conditioning a civil or criminal charging decision relating to an organization (or affiliated person) on one or more specified actions, or from using one or more such actions as a factor in determining whether an organization or affiliated person is cooperating with the government.

Numbers among the actions a U.S. agent or attorney may not use as a charging decision condition or a cooperation-determining factor: (1) any valid assertion of the attorney-client privilege or privilege for attorney work product; (2) the provision of counsel to, or contribution to the legal defense fees or expenses of, an employee of the organization; (3) entry into a joint-defense, information-sharing, or common-interest agreement with an employee of the organization if the organization determines it has a common interest in defending against the investigation or enforcement matter; (4) the sharing of relevant information with an employee; or (5) a failure to terminate an employee's employment, or otherwise sanction an employee, because of the employee's decision to exercise his or her constitutional rights or other legal protections in response to a government request.

Prohibits a U.S. agent or attorney from demanding or requesting that an organization or an affiliated person not take any such action.

Declares that this Act does not affect any other federal statute that may authorize, in the course of an examination or inspection, a U.S. agent or attorney to require or compel the production of attorney-client privileged material or attorney work product.

Declares that the prohibition against conditioning a charging decision does not apply to charging an organization (or affiliated person) for certain conduct under a federal law which makes that conduct in itself an offense. Specifies as conduct which may under federal law constitute an offense, and so be exempted from this prohibition: (1) the provision of counsel to, or contribution to the legal defense fees or expenses of, an employee of the organization; (2) entry into a joint defense, information sharing, or common interest agreement with an employee of that organization if the organization determines it has a common interest in defending against the investigation or enforcement matter; and (3) the sharing of information relevant to the investigation or enforcement matter with an employee of the organization.

Actions Timeline

- **Nov 14, 2007:** Received in the Senate and Read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.
- **Nov 13, 2007:** Reported by the Committee on Judiciary. H. Rept. 110-445.
- **Nov 13, 2007:** Placed on the Union Calendar, Calendar No. 276.
- **Nov 13, 2007:** Mr. Scott (VA) moved to suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.
- **Nov 13, 2007:** Considered under suspension of the rules. (consideration: CR H13562-13564)
- **Nov 13, 2007:** DEBATE - The House proceeded with forty minutes of debate on H.R. 3013.
- **Nov 13, 2007:** Passed/agreed to in House: On motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended Agreed to by voice vote.(text: CR H13562-13563)
- **Nov 13, 2007:** On motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended Agreed to by voice vote. (text: CR H13562-13563)
- **Nov 13, 2007:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- **Aug 1, 2007:** Committee Consideration and Mark-up Session Held.
- **Aug 1, 2007:** Ordered to be Reported by Voice Vote.
- **Jul 24, 2007:** Subcommittee Consideration and Mark-up Session Held.
- **Jul 24, 2007:** Forwarded by Subcommittee to Full Committee by Voice Vote .
- **Jul 20, 2007:** Referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security.
- **Jul 12, 2007:** Introduced in House
- **Jul 12, 2007:** Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary.