

## HRES 653

Relating to consideration of the bill (S. 1932) to provide for reconciliation pursuant to section 202(a) of the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2006 (H. Con. Res. 95).

**Congress:** 109 (2005–2007, Ended)

**Chamber:** House

**Policy Area:** Congress

**Introduced:** Jan 31, 2006

**Current Status:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.

**Latest Action:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection. (Feb 1, 2006)

**Official Text:** <https://www.congress.gov/bill/109th-congress/house-resolution/653>

### Sponsor

**Name:** Rep. Putnam, Adam H. [R-FL-12]

**Party:** Republican • **State:** FL • **Chamber:** House

### Cosponsors

*No cosponsors are listed for this bill.*

### Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Rules Committee	House	Reported Original Measure	Jan 31, 2006

### Subjects & Policy Tags

**Policy Area:**

Congress

### Related Bills

Bill	Relationship	Last Action
109 S 1932	Procedurally related	<b>Feb 8, 2006:</b> Became Public Law No: 109-171.

### Summary (as of Feb 1, 2006)

**(This measure has not been amended since it was introduced. The summary of that version is repeated here.)**

Sets forth the rule for consideration of the Senate amendment to S. 1932 (providing for reconciliation under the concurrent resolution on the budget for FY2006).

## Actions Timeline

---

- **Feb 1, 2006:** By direction of the Committee on Rules, Mr. Putnam called up H. Res. 653 and asked for its immediate consideration.
- **Feb 1, 2006:** POINT OF ORDER AGAINST CONSIDERATION - Mr. McDermott stated that the provisions of H. Res. 653 violate the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 by imposing an unfunded mandate and made a point of order against the consideration of the resolution. Subsequently, the Chair noted that the required threshold of identifying the specific language in question had been met, and the House proceeded with 20 minutes of debate on the question of consideration.
- **Feb 1, 2006:** On motion to consider the resolution Agreed to by the Yeas and Nays: 226 - 201 (Roll no. 2).
- **Feb 1, 2006:** Considered as privileged matter. (consideration: CR H37-60)
- **Feb 1, 2006:** DEBATE - The House proceeded with one hour of debate on H. Res. 653.
- **Feb 1, 2006:** The previous question was ordered without objection. (consideration: CR H60)
- **Feb 1, 2006:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on H. Res. 653, the Chair put the question on adoption of the resolution and by voice vote, announced that the ayes had prevailed. Ms. Slaughter demanded the Yeas and Nays and the Chair announced that further proceedings on the question of adoption of the resolution would be postponed until later in the legislative day.
- **Feb 1, 2006:** Considered as unfinished business. (consideration: CR H68)
- **Feb 1, 2006:** Passed/agreed to in House: On agreeing to the resolution Agreed to by the Yeas and Nays: 216 - 214 (Roll no. 4).(text: CR H37)
- **Feb 1, 2006:** On agreeing to the resolution Agreed to by the Yeas and Nays: 216 - 214 (Roll no. 4). (text: CR H37)
- **Feb 1, 2006:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- **Jan 31, 2006:** Introduced in House
- **Jan 31, 2006:** The House Committee on Rules reported an original measure, H. Rept. 109-366, by Mr. Putnam.
- **Jan 31, 2006:** The House Committee on Rules reported an original measure, H. Rept. 109-366, by Mr. Putnam.
- **Jan 31, 2006:** Upon adoption of the resolution, the House shall be deemed to have agreed to the Senate amendment to the House amendment to S. 1932.
- **Jan 31, 2006:** Placed on the House Calendar, Calendar No. 142.