

HR 6427

To increase the amount in certain funding agreements relating to patents and nonprofit organizations to be used for scientific research, development, and education, and for other purposes.

Congress: 109 (2005–2007, Ended)

Chamber: House

Policy Area: Commerce

Introduced: Dec 8, 2006

Current Status: Received in the Senate.

Latest Action: Received in the Senate. (Dec 9, 2006)

Official Text: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/109th-congress/house-bill/6427>

Sponsor

Name: Rep. Latham, Tom [R-IA-4]

Party: Republican • **State:** IA • **Chamber:** House

Cosponsors

No cosponsors are listed for this bill.

Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Judiciary Committee	House	Referred To	Dec 8, 2006

Subjects & Policy Tags

Policy Area:

Commerce

Related Bills

No related bills are listed.

Summary (as of Dec 9, 2006)

(This measure has not been amended since it was introduced. The summary of that version is repeated here.)

Increases to 15% (currently 5%) of a government-owned contractor-operated facility's annual budget, for facilities with annual budgets of less than \$40 million, the amount of money from royalties or income earned during any fiscal year from a patent for a subject invention under a funding agreement that a nonprofit organization can keep for scientific research, development, and education consistent with the research and development mission and objectives of the facility.

Actions Timeline

- **Dec 9, 2006:** Mr. Cannon moved to suspend the rules and pass the bill.
- **Dec 9, 2006:** Considered under suspension of the rules. (consideration: CR 12/8/2006 H9199-9200)
- **Dec 9, 2006:** DEBATE - The House proceeded with forty minutes of debate on H.R. 6427.
- **Dec 9, 2006:** Passed/agreed to in House: On motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill Agreed to by voice vote.(text: CR 12/8/2006 H9199-9200)
- **Dec 9, 2006:** On motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill Agreed to by voice vote. (text: CR 12/8/2006 H9199-9200)
- **Dec 9, 2006:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- **Dec 9, 2006:** Received in the Senate.
- **Dec 8, 2006:** Introduced in House
- **Dec 8, 2006:** Introduced in House
- **Dec 8, 2006:** Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary.