

# **HRES 577**

Expressing the sense of the House of Representatives regarding the conditions for the United States to become a signatory to any multilateral agreement on trade resulting from the World Trade Organization's Doha Development Agenda Round.

Congress: 109 (2005–2007, Ended)

Chamber: House

Policy Area: Foreign Trade and International Finance

Introduced: Nov 18, 2005

Current Status: Sponsor introductory remarks on measure. (CR H11270)

**Latest Action:** Sponsor introductory remarks on measure. (CR H11270) (Dec 8, 2005) **Official Text:** https://www.congress.gov/bill/109th-congress/house-resolution/577

### **Sponsor**

Name: Rep. English, Phil [R-PA-3]

Party: Republican • State: PA • Chamber: House

## Cosponsors (3 total)

Cosponsor	Party / State	Role	Date Joined
Rep. Hart, Melissa A. [R-PA-4]	$R \cdot PA$		Nov 18, 2005
Rep. Reynolds, Thomas M. [R-NY-26]	$R \cdot NY$		Nov 18, 2005
Rep. Duncan, John J., Jr. [R-TN-2]	$R \cdot TN$		Dec 14, 2005

### **Committee Activity**

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Ways and Means Committee	House	Referred To	Nov 18, 2005

## **Subjects & Policy Tags**

### **Policy Area:**

Foreign Trade and International Finance

### **Related Bills**

Bill	Relationship	Last Action
109 SRES 459	Related bill	May 2, 2006: Referred to the Committee on Finance. (text of measure as introduced: CR S3900-3901)

Expresses the sense of the House of Representatives that the United States should not be a signatory to any agreement or protocol with respect to the Doha Development Round of the World Trade Organization (WTO) negotiations, or any other bilateral or multilateral trade negotiations that: (1) adopts specified proposals to lessen the effectiveness of domestic and international disciplines on unfair trade or safeguard provisions; or (2) would lessen in any manner the ability of the United States to enforce rigorously its trade laws, including the antidumping, countervailing duty, and safeguard laws.

Declares the sense of the House that the federal trade laws and international rules appropriately serve the public interest by offsetting injurious unfair trade, and further "balancing modifications" or other similar provisions are unnecessary and would add to the complexity and difficulty of achieving relief against injurious unfair trade practices.

States that the United States should ensure that any new agreement relating to international disciplines on unfair trade or safeguard provisions fully rectifies and corrects decisions by WTO dispute settlement panels or the Appellate Body that have unjustifiably and negatively impacted, or threaten to negatively impact, federal law or practice, including a law or practice with respect to foreign dumping or subsidization.

### **Actions Timeline**

• Dec 8, 2005: Sponsor introductory remarks on measure. (CR H11270)

Nov 18, 2005: Introduced in HouseNov 18, 2005: Introduced in House

• Nov 18, 2005: Referred to the House Committee on Ways and Means.