

HR 5682

Henry J. Hyde United States and India Nuclear Cooperation Promotion Act of 2006

Congress: 109 (2005–2007, Ended)

Chamber: House

Policy Area: International Affairs

Introduced: Jun 26, 2006

Current Status: Became Public Law No: 109-401.

Latest Action: Became Public Law No: 109-401. (Dec 18, 2006)

Law: 109-401 (Enacted Dec 18, 2006)

Official Text: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/109th-congress/house-bill/5682>

Sponsor

Name: Rep. Hyde, Henry J. [R-IL-6]

Party: Republican • **State:** IL • **Chamber:** House

Cosponsors (35 total)

Cosponsor	Party / State	Role	Date Joined
Del. Faleomavaega, Eni F. H. [D-AS-At Large]	D · AS		Jun 26, 2006
Rep. Ackerman, Gary L. [D-NY-5]	D · NY		Jun 26, 2006
Rep. Burton, Dan [R-IN-5]	R · IN		Jun 26, 2006
Rep. Crowley, Joseph [D-NY-7]	D · NY		Jun 26, 2006
Rep. Engel, Eliot L. [D-NY-17]	D · NY		Jun 26, 2006
Rep. Etheridge, Bob [D-NC-2]	D · NC		Jun 26, 2006
Rep. Lantos, Tom [D-CA-12]	D · CA		Jun 26, 2006
Rep. Ros-Lehtinen, Ileana [R-FL-18]	R · FL		Jun 26, 2006
Rep. Wilson, Joe [R-SC-2]	R · SC		Jun 26, 2006
Rep. McDermott, Jim [D-WA-7]	D · WA		Jun 28, 2006
Rep. Millender-McDonald, Juanita [D-CA-37]	D · CA		Jun 28, 2006
Rep. Miller, Brad [D-NC-13]	D · NC		Jun 28, 2006
Rep. Price, David E. [D-NC-4]	D · NC		Jun 28, 2006
Rep. Strickland, Ted [D-OH-6]	D · OH		Jun 28, 2006
Rep. King, Peter T. [R-NY-3]	R · NY		Jun 29, 2006
Rep. Boustany, Charles W., Jr. [R-LA-7]	R · LA		Jul 11, 2006
Rep. Conaway, K. Michael [R-TX-11]	R · TX		Jul 11, 2006
Rep. Delahunt, William D. [D-MA-10]	D · MA		Jul 11, 2006
Rep. Sessions, Pete [R-TX-32]	R · TX		Jul 11, 2006
Rep. Hall, Ralph M. [R-TX-4]	R · TX		Jul 12, 2006
Rep. Neugebauer, Randy [R-TX-19]	R · TX		Jul 12, 2006
Rep. Smith, Lamar [R-TX-21]	R · TX		Jul 12, 2006
Rep. Bonilla, Henry [R-TX-23]	R · TX		Jul 17, 2006
Rep. Carter, John R. [R-TX-31]	R · TX		Jul 17, 2006
Rep. Foley, Mark [R-FL-16]	R · FL		Jul 17, 2006
Rep. Johnson, Sam [R-TX-3]	R · TX		Jul 17, 2006
Rep. Linder, John [R-GA-7]	R · GA		Jul 17, 2006
Rep. Culberson, John Abney [R-TX-7]	R · TX		Jul 18, 2006
Rep. Hensarling, Jeb [R-TX-5]	R · TX		Jul 18, 2006
Rep. McCaul, Michael T. [R-TX-10]	R · TX		Jul 18, 2006
Rep. Granger, Kay [R-TX-12]	R · TX		Jul 19, 2006
Rep. Kolbe, Jim [R-AZ-8]	R · AZ		Jul 19, 2006
Rep. Marchant, Kenny [R-TX-24]	R · TX		Jul 19, 2006
Rep. Lewis, Ron [R-KY-2]	R · KY		Jul 20, 2006
Rep. Miller, Jeff [R-FL-1]	R · FL		Jul 20, 2006

Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Foreign Affairs Committee	House	Reported By	Jul 21, 2006
Rules Committee	House	Discharged From	Jul 21, 2006

Subjects & Policy Tags

Policy Area:

International Affairs

Related Bills

Bill	Relationship	Last Action
109 HCONRES 502	Related bill	Dec 11, 2006: Message on Senate action sent to the House.
109 HRES 1101	Procedurally related	Dec 8, 2006: Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
109 S 3709	Related document	Nov 16, 2006: See also H.R. 5682.
109 HRES 947	Procedurally related	Jul 26, 2006: Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
109 S 2429	Related bill	Apr 5, 2006: Star Print ordered on the bill.
109 HR 4974	Related bill	Mar 16, 2006: Referred to the Committee on International Relations, and in addition to the Committee on Rules, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

Title I: United States And India Peaceful Atomic Energy Cooperation - Henry J. Hyde United States-India Peaceful Atomic Energy Cooperation Act of 2006 - (Sec. 102) Expresses the sense of Congress that: (1) preventing the proliferation of nuclear weapons, other weapons of mass destruction, the means to produce them, and the means to deliver them are critical objectives for U.S. foreign policy; (2) sustaining the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) and strengthening its implementation, particularly verification and compliance, is the keystone of U.S. nonproliferation policy; and (3) the NPT has been a significant success in preventing the acquisition of nuclear weapons capabilities and maintaining a stable international security situation.

Declares the sense of Congress that: (1) strong bilateral relations with India are in the U.S. national interest; (2) commerce in civil nuclear energy with India by the United States and other countries has the potential to benefit the people of all countries; (3) any commerce in civil nuclear energy with India by the United States and other countries must be achieved in a manner that minimizes the risk of nuclear proliferation or regional arms races; and (4) the United States should not seek to facilitate the continuation of nuclear exports to India by any other party if such exports are terminated under U.S. law.

(Sec. 103) States that it is U.S. policy to: (1) oppose the development of a capability to produce nuclear weapons by any non-nuclear weapon state, within or outside of the NPT; (2) encourage States Party to the NPT to interpret the right to develop research, production and use of nuclear energy for peaceful purposes as applying only to the extent consistent with the object and purpose of the NPT to prevent the spread of nuclear weapons and nuclear weapons capabilities; and (3) seek to prevent the transfer to a country of nuclear equipment, materials, or technology from other participating governments in the multilateral Nuclear Suppliers Group (NSG) or from any other source if nuclear transfers to that country are suspended or terminated.

States that it is U.S. Policy to: (1) achieve a moratorium on the production of fissile material for nuclear explosive purposes by India, Pakistan, and the People's Republic of China; (2) achieve the conclusion and implementation of a treaty banning the production of fissile material for nuclear weapons to which both the United States and India become parties; (3) secure India's full participation in the Proliferation Security Initiative and commitment to its Statement of Interdiction Principles; and (4) secure India's ratification of accession to the Convention on Supplementary Compensation for Nuclear Damage, done at Vienna on September 12, 1997.

States that it is U.S. Policy to ensure that: (1) any safeguards agreement or Additional Protocol to which India is a party with the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) can reliably safeguard any export or reexport to India of any nuclear materials and equipment; and (2) the text and implementation of any agreement for cooperation with India meet specified requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (AEA).

States that it is U.S. Policy to: (1) secure India's participation in U.S. efforts to dissuade, isolate, and, if necessary, sanction and contain Iran for its efforts to acquire weapons of mass destruction, including the means to deliver them; (2) seek to halt the increase of nuclear weapon arsenals in South Asia and promote their reduction and eventual elimination; and (13) ensure that spent fuel generated in India's civilian nuclear power reactors is not transferred to the United States except pursuant to certain congressional review procedures.

Declares it is U.S. Policy that any nuclear power reactor fuel reserve provided to the government of India for use in safeguarded civilian nuclear facilities should be commensurate with reasonable reactor operating requirements.

(Sec. 104) Authorizes the President, upon making specified determinations, to: (1) exempt a proposed cooperation agreement with India from AEA requirements for maintenance of IAEA safeguards for nuclear materials in peaceful nuclear activities; (2) waive a certain additional export criterion and procedures; and (3) waive mandatory termination of nuclear exports based upon specified conduct that occurred before July 18, 2005.

Includes among the determinations conditioning such waivers that: (1) India is taking necessary steps to secure nuclear and other sensitive materials and technology; and (2) the NSG has decided by consensus to permit supply to India of nuclear items covered by NSG guidelines.

Requires the President's report to congressional committees on such determinations to include a summary of: (1) the plan provided by India to the United States and the IAEA to separate civil and military nuclear facilities, materials, and programs, and the declaration identifying India's civil facilities to be placed under IAEA safeguards; and (2) the agreement entered into between India and the IAEA requiring the application of safeguards to India's civil nuclear facilities, together with a description of the progress toward full implementation as well as toward conclusion and implementation of an Additional Protocol between India and the IAEA.

Requires the report to describe steps taken by India to: (1) work with the United States for a multilateral treaty banning production of fissile material for nuclear weapons; (2) prevent the spread of nuclear-related technology; (3) secure materials and technology applicable for the development, acquisition, or manufacture of weapons of mass destruction and the means to deliver them through the application of comprehensive export control legislation and regulations, and through harmonization with and adherence to specified international guidelines, compliance with United Nations Security Council Resolution 1540, and participation in the Proliferation Security Initiative; and (4) ensure that U.S. civil nuclear cooperation with India will not in any way assist India's nuclear weapons program.

Sets forth restrictions on nuclear transfers. Sets conditions for: (1) termination of nuclear transfers to India; (2) exports, reexports, transfers, and retransfers to India regarding enrichment, reprocessing, and heavy water production; and (3) a nuclear export accountability program.

States that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) may only issue licenses for the export or reexport to India of any equipment, components, or materials related to the enrichment of uranium, the reprocessing of spent nuclear fuel, or the production of heavy water if specified requirements are met.

Permits approval of such exports or reexports only if: (1) the end user is a multinational facility participating in an IAEA-approved program to provide alternatives to national fuel cycle capabilities, or is a facility participating in, and the export or reexport is associated with, a bilateral or multinational program to develop a proliferation-resistant fuel cycle; (2) measures are in place to ensure that no sensitive nuclear technology will be diverted to persons, facilities, or programs not under IAEA safeguards; and (3) the President determines that the export or reexport will not improve India's military ability to produce nuclear weapons or fissile material.

Directs the President to ensure that all appropriate measures are taken to maintain accountability with respect to nuclear materials, equipment, and technology sold, leased, exported, or reexported to India and to ensure U.S. compliance with Article I of the NPT.

Includes among such measures: (1) obtaining and implementing assurances and conditions pursuant to the export licensing authorities of the NRC and the Department of Commerce and the authorizing authorities of the Department of Energy (DOE); (2) a reporting and accounting system for technology transfers, including any retransfers in India, authorized by the DOE pursuant to the AEA; and (3) alternate safeguards conforming with IAEA standards and practices

in the event the IAEA is unable to implement safeguards required by a U.S.-India agreement.

States that such measures shall be implemented to provide reasonable assurances of recipient compliance with the requirements of any U.S.-issued licenses regarding such exports (including those relating to the use, retransfer, safe handling, secure transit, and storage of such exports).

Requires the President to submit to Congress: (1) specified information on India's nuclear activities; (2) an annual implementation and compliance report, including an analysis of whether U.S. Civil nuclear cooperation with India assists India's nuclear weapons program; and (3) a detailed description of progress toward India's full participation in the Proliferation Security Initiative and formal commitment to its Statement of Interdiction Principles.

(Sec. 105) States that this Act does not constitute authority for any action in violation of an obligation of the United States under the NPT.

(Sec. 106) States that if the President determines that India has detonated a nuclear explosive device after the date of the enactment of this Act, any waiver made under this Act shall cease to be effective.

(Sec. 107) States that Congress finds that India is not a Missile Technology Control Regime (MTCR) adherent for certain prohibitions and sanction purposes of the Arms Export Control Act. (Subjects India to such prohibitions and sanctions relating to transfer to it, by foreign persons, of missile equipment or technology.)

(Sec. 109) Authorizes the Secretary of Energy, acting through the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration, to establish a cooperative nuclear nonproliferation program to pursue jointly with scientists from the United States and India a program to further common nuclear nonproliferation goals.

Authorizes appropriations for FY2007-FY2011.

Title II: United States Additional Protocol Implementation - United States Additional Protocol Implementation Act -

(Sec. 203) Defines "Additional Protocol" (when used in the singular form) as the Protocol Additional to the Agreement between the United States of America and the International Atomic Energy Agency for the Application of Safeguards in the United States of America, with Annexes, signed at Vienna on June 12, 1998.

Subtitle A: General Provisions - (Sec. 211) Authorizes the President to carry out this title and the Additional Protocol and directs the President to designate the enforcing executive agency or agencies. States that such authority does not supersede or otherwise modify any existing authority of any federal department or agency already having such authority.

Subtitle B: Complementary Access - (Sec. 221) States that no complementary access to any U.S. location shall take place pursuant to the Additional Protocol without U.S. government authorization. Authorizes such access.

Declares that, in the event of complementary access to a privately owned or operated location, no employee of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Mine Safety and Health Administration, or the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) of the Department of Labor may participate in the access.

(Sec. 222) Sets forth complementary access procedures regarding: (1) notice; (2) credentials; (3) scope of access; and (4) environment, health, safety, and security observance.

(Sec. 223) Sets forth provisions for: (1) location owner, operator, or occupant consent; (2) administrative search warrants

in the absence of consent; (3) expedited access; and (4) administrative search warrants for complementary access.

(Sec. 224) Makes it unlawful for any person willfully to fail or refuse to permit, or to disrupt or otherwise impede, a complementary access authorized by this subtitle or an entry in connection with such access.

Subtitle C: Confidentiality of Information - (Sec. 231) Exempts from specified disclosure requirements any information acquired by the U.S. Government under this title or under the Additional Protocol.

Subtitle D: Enforcement - (Sec. 241) Makes it unlawful to willfully fail or refuse to: (1) establish or maintain any record required under this title; (2) submit any report, notice, or other information to the U.S. Government in accordance with any regulation prescribed under this title; or (3) permit access to or copying of any record by the U.S. Government in accordance with any regulation prescribed under this title.

(Sec. 242) Establishes civil and criminal penalties for complementary access and recordkeeping violations.

Provides for administrative appellate and judicial review.

(Sec. 243) States that U.S. district courts shall have jurisdiction over civil actions brought by the head of an executive agency to: (1) restrain complementary access and recordkeeping violations; or (2) to compel the taking of any action required by this title or the Additional Protocol.

Subtitle E: Environmental Sampling - (Sec. 251) Directs the President to notify the appropriate congressional committees if the IAEA Board of Governors approves wide-area environmental sampling for use as a safeguards verification tool.

(Sec. 252) Prohibits IAEA-proposed wide-area environmental sampling at a specified U.S. Location under the Additional Protocol unless the President reports to the appropriate congressional committees that: (1) such sampling is necessary to increase IAEA's capability to detect undeclared nuclear activities in the territory of a non-nuclear-weapon state party; (2) such sampling will not result in IAEA access to locations, activities, or information of direct national security significance; and (3) the United States has been provided sufficient opportunity for consultation with the IAEA or has requested that the IAEA engage in such access and sampling.

(Sec. 253) Prohibits the United States from permitting any location-specific environmental sampling in the United States unless the President reports to the appropriate congressional committees that such conditions have been met.

Subtitle F: Protection of National Security Information and Activities - (Sec. 261) Provides that no current or former Department of Defense or DOE location, site, or facility of direct national security significance shall be subject to IAEA inspection under the Additional Protocol.

Prohibits provision under the Additional Protocol of any information of direct national security significance regarding any such location, site, or facility associated with activities of the Department of Defense or the DOE.

Declares that this title shall not be construed to permit the communication or disclosure to the IAEA or IAEA employees of restricted data controlled by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, including in particular specified "Restricted Data."

Prohibits construction of this Act to permit the communication or disclosure to the IAEA or IAEA employees of national security and other classified information.

(Sec. 262) Declares that no national of a country whose government supports acts of international terrorism shall be permitted access to the United States to carry out an inspection activity under the Additional Protocol or a related safeguards agreement.

Requires IAEA inspectors to be accompanied at all times by federal personnel when inspecting sites, locations, facilities, or activities in the United States under the Additional Protocol.

Instructs the President to conduct vulnerability, counterintelligence, and related assessments at least every five years to ensure that information of direct national security significance remains protected at all sites, locations, facilities, and activities in the United States subject to IAEA inspection under the Additional Protocol.

Subtitle G: Reports - (Sec. 271) Instructs the President to submit to Congress: (1) a list of the sites, locations, facilities, and activities in the United States (USSLFAs) that the President intends to declare to the IAEA; (2) a list of any USSLFAs the President intends to add to or remove from the declaration; (3) a report certifying that each USSLFA on the list has been examined by each agency with related national security equities; and (4) a report on efforts to promote implementation of additional protocols to existing safeguards agreements signed by non-nuclear-weapon state parties, U.S. assistance to the IAEA to promote the effective implementation of such additional protocols, and the verification of the compliance of such parties with IAEA obligations.

(Sec. 275) Directs the President to notify Congress of any notifications issued by the IAEA to the United States under Article 10 of the Additional Protocol.

Subtitle H: Authorization of Appropriations - (Sec. 281) Authorizes appropriations.

Actions Timeline

- **Dec 18, 2006:** Signed by President.
- **Dec 18, 2006:** Signed by President.
- **Dec 18, 2006:** Became Public Law No: 109-401.
- **Dec 18, 2006:** Became Public Law No: 109-401.
- **Dec 14, 2006:** Presented to President.
- **Dec 14, 2006:** Presented to President.
- **Dec 11, 2006:** Message on Senate action sent to the House.
- **Dec 9, 2006:** Conference report agreed to in Senate: Senate agreed to conference report by Unanimous Consent Vote.(consideration: CR 12/8/2006 S11822-11825)
- **Dec 9, 2006:** Senate agreed to conference report by Unanimous Consent Vote. (consideration: CR 12/8/2006 S11822-11825)
- **Dec 9, 2006:** Pursuant to the provisions of H. Con. Res. 502, enrollment corrections on H.R. 5682 have been made.
- **Dec 8, 2006:** Rule H. Res. 1101 passed House.
- **Dec 8, 2006:** Mr. Hyde brought up conference report H. Rept. 109-721 for consideration under the provisions of H. Res. 1101. (consideration: CR H9146-9155)
- **Dec 8, 2006:** DEBATE - The House proceeded with one hour of debate on the conference report to accompany the bill H.R. 5682.
- **Dec 8, 2006:** The previous question was ordered without objection. (consideration: CR H9155)
- **Dec 8, 2006:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5682, the Chair announced that pursuant to the Rules of the House, the Yeas and Nays were ordered. Subsequently, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption on the Conference Report until later in the legislative day.
- **Dec 8, 2006:** The House proceeded to consider the conference report H.Rept. 109-721 as unfinished business. (consideration: CR H9159-9160)
- **Dec 8, 2006:** Conference report agreed to in House: On agreeing to the conference report Agreed to by the Yeas and Nays: 330 - 59 (Roll no. 541).
- **Dec 8, 2006:** Motions to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- **Dec 8, 2006:** On agreeing to the conference report Agreed to by the Yeas and Nays: 330 - 59 (Roll no. 541).
- **Dec 8, 2006:** Conference papers: Senate report and manager's statement message on House action held at the desk in Senate.
- **Dec 7, 2006:** Conference report filed: Conference report H. Rept. 109-721 filed.(text of conference report: CR H8934-8950)
- **Dec 7, 2006:** Conference report H. Rept. 109-721 filed. (text of conference report: CR H8934-8950)
- **Dec 7, 2006:** Rules Committee Resolution H. Res. 1101 Reported to House. Rule provides for consideration of the conference report to H.R. 5682.
- **Dec 5, 2006:** Mr. Hyde asked unanimous consent that the House disagree to the Senate amendment, and agree to a conference.
- **Dec 5, 2006:** On motion that the House disagree to the Senate amendment, and agree to a conference Agreed to without objection. (consideration: CR H8701-8702)
- **Dec 5, 2006:** Mr. Lantos moved that the House instruct conferees. (consideration: CR H8701; text: CR H8701)
- **Dec 5, 2006:** DEBATE - The House proceeded with one hour of debate on the Lantos motion to instruct conferees on H.R. 5682. The instructions contained in the motion seek to require the managers on the part of the House to recede to the provisions contained in section 105(8) of the Senate amendment.
- **Dec 5, 2006:** The previous question was ordered without objection. (consideration: CR H8701)
- **Dec 5, 2006:** On motion that the House instruct conferees Agreed to by voice vote.
- **Dec 5, 2006:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- **Dec 5, 2006:** The Speaker appointed conferees: Hyde, Boehner, and Lantos.
- **Dec 5, 2006:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- **Dec 4, 2006:** Senate appointed conferee(s) Lugar; Hagel; Allen; Frist; Biden; Dodd.
- **Dec 4, 2006:** Message on Senate action sent to the House.
- **Nov 16, 2006:** Measure laid before Senate by unanimous consent. (consideration: CR S11028-11034)

- Nov 16, 2006:** Senate struck all after the Enacting Clause and substituted the language of S. 3709 amended.
- **Nov 16, 2006:** See also S. 3709.
 - **Nov 16, 2006:** Passed/agreed to in Senate: Passed Senate with an amendment by Yea-Nay Vote. 85 - 12. Record Vote Number: 270.(text: CR S11028-11034)
 - **Nov 16, 2006:** Passed Senate with an amendment by Yea-Nay Vote. 85 - 12. Record Vote Number: 270. (text: CR S11028-11034)
 - **Nov 16, 2006:** Senate insisted on its amendment, requested a conference.
 - **Jul 27, 2006:** Received in the Senate. Read twice. Placed on Senate Legislative Calendar under General Orders. Calendar No. 537.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** Rule H. Res. 947 passed House.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** Considered under the provisions of rule H. Res. 947. (consideration: CR H5902-5930; text of measure as reported: CR H5915-5917)
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** Rule provides for consideration of H.R. 5682 with 1 hour of general debate. Previous question shall be considered as ordered without intervening motions except motion to recommit with or without instructions. Measure will be considered read. Specified amendments are in order. The amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on International Relations now printed in the bill, modified by the amendment printed in part A of the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution, shall be considered as adopted in the House and in the Committee of the Whole. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as the original bill for the purpose of further amendment under the five-minute rule.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** The Speaker designated the Honorable John J. Duncan Jr. to act as Chairman of the Committee.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union pursuant to H. Res. 947 and Rule XVIII.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** GENERAL DEBATE - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with one hour of general debate on H.R. 5682.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** Mr. Obey moved to strike the enacting clause.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** Floor summary: DEBATE - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with the Obey motion to strike the enacting clause of the bill.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** On motion to strike the enacting clause Failed by voice vote.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 947, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Royce amendment.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 947, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Stearns amendment.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Stearns amendment the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote announced that the ayes had prevailed. Mr. Stearns demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 947, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Jackson-Lee amendment.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 947, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Sherman amendment.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Sherman amendment, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Sherman demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 947, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Berman amendment.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Berman amendment, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Berman demanded a recorded vote and the Chair postponed further proceedings on adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 947, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Fortenberry amendment.
 - **Jul 26, 2006:** UNFINISHED BUSINESS - The Chair announced that the unfinished business was the question of adoption of specified amendments which were debated earlier and on which further proceedings had been postponed.

- Jul 26, 2006:** The House rose from the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union to report H.R. 5682.
- **Jul 26, 2006:** The previous question was ordered pursuant to the rule. (consideration: CR H5928)
- **Jul 26, 2006:** The House adopted the amendments en gross as agreed to by the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.
- **Jul 26, 2006:** Mr. Markey moved to recommit with instructions to International Relations. (consideration: CR H5928-5930; text: CR H5928)
- **Jul 26, 2006:** DEBATE - The House proceeded with ten minutes of debate on the Markey motion to recommit with instructions. The instructions contained in the motion seek to require the bill to be reported back to the House with an amendment adding a new paragraph which states that the President must certify that India is fully and actively participating in U.S. efforts to dissuade, isolate, and, if necessary, sanction and contain Iran for its efforts to acquire weapons of mass destruction, including a nuclear weapons capability (including the capability to enrich or process nuclear materials), and the means to deliver weapons of mass destruction.
- **Jul 26, 2006:** The previous question on the motion to recommit with instructions was ordered without objection. (consideration: CR H5928-5929)
- **Jul 26, 2006:** On motion to recommit with instructions Failed by recorded vote: 192 - 235 (Roll no. 410).
- **Jul 26, 2006:** Passed/agreed to in House: On passage Passed by recorded vote: 359 - 68 (Roll no. 411).
- **Jul 26, 2006:** On passage Passed by recorded vote: 359 - 68 (Roll no. 411).
- **Jul 26, 2006:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- **Jul 25, 2006:** Rules Committee Resolution H. Res. 947 Reported to House. Rule provides for consideration of H.R. 5682 with 1 hour of general debate. Previous question shall be considered as ordered without intervening motions except motion to recommit with or without instructions. Measure will be considered read. Specified amendments are in order. The amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on International Relations now printed in the bill, modified by the amendment printed in part A of the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution, shall be considered as adopted in the House and in the Committee of the Whole. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as the original bill for the purpose of further amendment under the five-minute rule.
- **Jul 21, 2006:** Reported (Amended) by the Committee on International Relations. H. Rept. 109-590, Part I. Filed late, pursuant to previous special order.
- **Jul 21, 2006:** Reported (Amended) by the Committee on International Relations. H. Rept. 109-590, Part I. Filed late, pursuant to previous special order.
- **Jul 21, 2006:** Committee on Rules discharged.
- **Jul 21, 2006:** Committee on Rules discharged.
- **Jul 21, 2006:** Placed on the Union Calendar, Calendar No. 341.
- **Jul 20, 2006:** Mr. Boozman asked unanimous consent that the Committee on International Relations have until midnight on July 21 to file a report on H.R. 5682. Agreed to without objection.
- **Jun 27, 2006:** Committee Consideration and Mark-up Session Held.
- **Jun 27, 2006:** Ordered to be Reported (Amended) by the Yeas and Nays: 37 - 5.
- **Jun 26, 2006:** Introduced in House
- **Jun 26, 2006:** Introduced in House
- **Jun 26, 2006:** Referred to the Committee on International Relations, and in addition to the Committee on Rules, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.
- **Jun 26, 2006:** Referred to the Committee on International Relations, and in addition to the Committee on Rules, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.
- **Jun 26, 2006:** Referred to the Committee on International Relations, and in addition to the Committee on Rules, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.