

HR 1458

To require any Federal or State court to recognize any notarization made by a notary public licensed by a State other than the State where the court is located when such notarization occurs in or affects interstate commerce.

Congress: 109 (2005–2007, Ended)

Chamber: House

Policy Area: Law

Introduced: Apr 5, 2005

Current Status: Received in the Senate.

Latest Action: Received in the Senate. (Dec 7, 2006)

Official Text: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/109th-congress/house-bill/1458>

Sponsor

Name: Rep. Aderholt, Robert B. [R-AL-4]

Party: Republican • **State:** AL • **Chamber:** House

Cosponsors

No cosponsors are listed for this bill.

Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Judiciary Committee	House	Reported by	May 24, 2006

Subjects & Policy Tags

Policy Area:

Law

Related Bills

No related bills are listed.

Summary (as of Dec 6, 2006)

Requires each federal and state court to recognize any lawful notarization occurring in or affecting interstate commerce which is made by a notary public licensed or commissioned under the laws of a state other than the state where the federal court is located.

Requires such a notarization to: (1) use a seal of office as symbol of the notary public's authority; or (2) have the seal information, in the case of an electronic record, securely attached to, or logically associated with, the electronic record so as to render the record tamper-resistant.

Actions Timeline

- **Dec 7, 2006:** Received in the Senate.
- **Dec 6, 2006:** Mr. Sensenbrenner moved to suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.
- **Dec 6, 2006:** Considered under suspension of the rules. (consideration: CR 12/7/2006 H8808-8809)
- **Dec 6, 2006:** DEBATE - The House proceeded with forty minutes of debate on H.R. 1458.
- **Dec 6, 2006:** Passed/agreed to in House: On motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended Agreed to by voice vote.(text: CR 12/7/2006 H8808)
- **Dec 6, 2006:** On motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended Agreed to by voice vote. (text: CR 12/7/2006 H8808)
- **Dec 6, 2006:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- **May 24, 2006:** Subcommittee Consideration and Mark-up Session Held.
- **May 24, 2006:** Forwarded by Subcommittee to Full Committee (Amended) by Voice Vote .
- **Mar 9, 2006:** Subcommittee Hearings Held.
- **May 10, 2005:** Referred to the Subcommittee on Courts, the Internet, and Intellectual Property.
- **Apr 5, 2005:** Introduced in House
- **Apr 5, 2005:** Introduced in House
- **Apr 5, 2005:** Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary.