

# HR 1036

Copyright Royalty Judges Program Technical Corrections Act

Congress: 109 (2005–2007, Ended)

Chamber: House

Policy Area: Commerce Introduced: Mar 2, 2005

Current Status: Became Public Law No: 109-303.

Latest Action: Became Public Law No: 109-303. (Oct 6, 2006)

Law: 109-303 (Enacted Oct 6, 2006)

Official Text: https://www.congress.gov/bill/109th-congress/house-bill/1036

**Sponsor** 

Name: Rep. Smith, Lamar [R-TX-21]

Party: Republican • State: TX • Chamber: House

**Cosponsors** (1 total)

Cosponsor	Party / State	Role	Date Joined
Rep. Berman, Howard L. [D-CA-28]	D · CA		Mar 2, 2005

## **Committee Activity**

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Judiciary Committee	House	Reported by	Mar 3, 2005
Judiciary Committee	Senate	Reported By	Jul 13, 2006

### **Subjects & Policy Tags**

**Policy Area:** 

Commerce

### **Related Bills**

No related bills are listed.

# (This measure has not been amended since it was reported to the Senate on July 13, 2006. The summary of that version is repeated here.)

Copyright Royalty Judges Program Technical Corrections Act - (Sec. 3) Amends provisions regarding copyright royalty judges to: (1) make technical changes; (2) provide that when the last day of a time limit for performance of an action with or by the Copyright Royalty Judges (CRJs) falls on a nonbusiness day, the action may be taken on the next succeeding business day; (3) provide that CRJs are to act in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act; (4) include prior determinations and interpretations of copyright arbitration royalty panels that are not inconsistent with a decision of the Librarian of Congress or the Register of Copyrights among the precedents that CRJs must consider; (5) allow participation in a proceeding to determine distribution of royalty fees without the payment of a filing fee if the petition to participate is accompanied by a statement that the petitioner (individually or as a group) will not seek a distribution of more than \$1000, in which case the amount distributed to the petitioner shall not exceed \$1000; (6) allow CRJs to order a discovery schedule in connection with written rebuttal statements; (7) allow CRJs to issue an amendment to a written determination to correct any technical or clerical errors in the determination or to modify terms, without approval of the Register; and (8) require that the Librarian receive authorization from the CRJs before distributing statutory licensing fees for secondary transmissions by cable systems or satellite carriers even when no controversy about such distribution exists.

(Sec. 5) Allows Copyright Royalty Judges to make a partial distribution of cable and satellite royalty fees at any time after the filing of claims for distribution of such fees. (Current law authorizes a partial distribution during the pendency of a distribution proceeding.)

(Sec. 6) Makes this Act effective as if it were included in the Copyright Royalty and Distribution Reform Act of 2004, except the partial distribution of royalty fees provisions are effective upon enactment of this Act.

#### **Actions Timeline**

- Oct 6, 2006: Signed by President.
- Oct 6, 2006: Signed by President.
- Oct 6, 2006: Became Public Law No: 109-303.
- Oct 6, 2006: Became Public Law No: 109-303.
- Sep 29, 2006: Presented to President.
- Sep 29, 2006: Presented to President.
- Sep 25, 2006: Mr. Sensenbrenner moved that the House suspend the rules and agree to the Senate amendment.
- Sep 25, 2006: DEBATE The House proceeded with forty minutes of debate on the motion to suspend the rules and agree to the Senate amendment to H.R. 1036.
- Sep 25, 2006: Resolving differences -- House actions: On motion that the House suspend the rules and agree to the Senate amendment Agreed to by voice vote. (consideration: CR H6962-6963; text as House agreed to Senate amendment: CR H6962)
- Sep 25, 2006: On motion that the House suspend the rules and agree to the Senate amendment Agreed to by voice vote. (consideration: CR H6962-6963; text as House agreed to Senate amendment: CR H6962)
- Sep 25, 2006: Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- Jul 20, 2006: Message on Senate action sent to the House.
- Jul 19, 2006: Passed/agreed to in Senate: Passed Senate with an amendment by Unanimous Consent.(consideration: CR S7934-7936; text of measure as reported in Senate: CR S7934-7935; text as passed Senate: CR S7936)
- Jul 19, 2006: Passed Senate with an amendment by Unanimous Consent. (consideration: CR S7934-7936; text of measure as reported in Senate: CR S7934-7935; text as passed Senate: CR S7936)
- Jul 13, 2006: Committee on the Judiciary. Ordered to be reported with an amendment favorably.
- Jul 13, 2006: Committee on the Judiciary. Reported by Senator Specter with an amendment. Without written report.
- Jul 13, 2006: Committee on the Judiciary. Reported by Senator Specter with an amendment. Without written report.
- Jul 13, 2006: Placed on Senate Legislative Calendar under General Orders. Calendar No. 515.
- Jun 29, 2006: Committee on the Judiciary. Committee consideration and Mark Up Session held.
- Nov 17, 2005: Received in the Senate and Read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.
- Nov 16, 2005: Mr. Sensenbrenner moved to suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.
- Nov 16, 2005: Considered under suspension of the rules. (consideration: CR H10243-10246)
- Nov 16, 2005: DEBATE The House proceeded with forty minutes of debate on H.R. 1036.
- Nov 16, 2005: Passed/agreed to in House: On motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended Agreed to by voice vote.(text: CR H10243-10245)
- Nov 16, 2005: On motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended Agreed to by voice vote. (text: CR H10243-10245)
- Nov 16, 2005: Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- Nov 16, 2005: The title of the measure was amended. Agreed to without objection.
- Apr 28, 2005: Reported by the Committee on Judiciary. H. Rept. 109-64.
- Apr 28, 2005: Reported by the Committee on Judiciary. H. Rept. 109-64.
- Apr 28, 2005: Placed on the Union Calendar, Calendar No. 31.
- Mar 9, 2005: Committee Consideration and Mark-up Session Held.
- Mar 9, 2005: Ordered to be Reported by Voice Vote.
- Mar 3, 2005: Subcommittee Consideration and Mark-up Session Held.
- Mar 3, 2005: Forwarded by Subcommittee to Full Committee by Voice Vote.
- Mar 2, 2005: Introduced in House
- Mar 2, 2005: Introduced in House
- Mar 2, 2005: Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary.
- Mar 2, 2005: Referred to the Subcommittee on Courts, the Internet, and Intellectual Property.