

HR 4663

Spending Control Act of 2004

Congress: 108 (2003–2005, Ended)

Chamber: House

Policy Area: Economics and Public Finance

Introduced: Jun 23, 2004

Current Status: On passage Failed by recorded vote: 146 - 268 (Roll no. 318).

Latest Action: On passage Failed by recorded vote: 146 - 268 (Roll no. 318). (Jun 25, 2004)

Official Text: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/108th-congress/house-bill/4663>

Sponsor

Name: Rep. Nussle, Jim [R-IA-1]

Party: Republican • **State:** IA • **Chamber:** House

Cosponsors

No cosponsors are listed for this bill.

Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Budget Committee	House	Referred To	Jun 23, 2004

Subjects & Policy Tags

Policy Area:

Economics and Public Finance

Related Bills

Bill	Relationship	Last Action
108 HRES 692	Procedurally related	Jun 24, 2004: Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
108 HR 4649	Related bill	Jun 22, 2004: Referred to the House Committee on the Budget.
108 HR 3973	Related bill	Mar 19, 2004: Placed on the Union Calendar, Calendar No. 255.

Spending Control Act of 2004 - Amends the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Gramm-Rudman-Hollings Act) to: (1) revise for FY 2004 discretionary spending limits (spending caps) for the highway and mass transit categories; (2) set forth for FY 2005 and 2006 new budget authority and outlays for the general purpose discretionary category, outlays for the highway category and new budget authority and outlays for the mass transit category; and (3) set forth for FY 2007 through 2009 outlays for the highway category and new budget authority and outlays for the mass transit category.

Revises the requirement that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) make adjustments to the highway category to conform to estimated levels of highway receipts.

Sets forth the level of obligation limitations (sum of budget authority and obligation limitations) for the highway and mass transit categories for FY 2004 through 2009.

Provides that, in any of FY 2005 through 2006, discretionary advance appropriations provided in appropriation Acts in excess of \$23,558 billion shall be counted against the discretionary spending limits for the fiscal year for which the appropriation Act containing the advance appropriation is enacted.

Extends PAY-AS-YOU-GO requirements through FY 2009 to provide for an offsetting sequestration for direct spending (currently, direct spending and receipts) legislation that is enacted before October 1, 2009, that causes a net increase in direct spending (currently, net deficit increase).

Provides, under specified conditions, for additional new budget authority in FY 2005 or 2006 for fire suppression and for an adjustment in the discretionary spending limit for FY 2005 for appropriations for the global war on terrorism.

Excludes from Congressional Budget Office (CBO) and OMB estimates certain extending provisions in the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 and the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003.

Requires the estimates set forth in the discretionary sequestration preview and final reports issued by OMB and CBO to include the current year and each subsequent year through FY 2006 (or FY 2009 solely to enforce the discretionary spending limits for the highway and mass transit categories).

Extends: (1) certain budget enforcement requirements through FY 2006 (or 2009 for enforcement of spending caps for highway and mass transit categories); and (2) PAY-AS-YOU-GO requirements through FY 2013.

Actions Timeline

- **Jun 25, 2004:** On motion to recommit with instructions Failed by recorded vote: 196 - 218 (Roll no. 317).
- **Jun 25, 2004:** Failed of passage/not agreed to in House: On passage Failed by recorded vote: 146 - 268 (Roll no. 318).
- **Jun 25, 2004:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- **Jun 25, 2004:** On passage Failed by recorded vote: 146 - 268 (Roll no. 318).
- **Jun 24, 2004:** Rules Committee Resolution H. Res. 692 Reported to House. Rule provides for consideration of H.R. 4663 with 1 hour of general debate. Previous question shall be considered as ordered without intervening motions except motion to recommit with or without instructions. Measure will be considered read. Specified amendments are in order.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** Rule H. Res. 692 passed House.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** Considered under the provisions of rule H. Res. 692. (consideration: CR H4898-4908; text of measure as reported in House: CR 6/25/2004 H6970-6972)
- **Jun 24, 2004:** Rule provides for consideration of H.R. 4663 with 1 hour of general debate. Previous question shall be considered as ordered without intervening motions except motion to recommit with or without instructions. Measure will be considered read. Specified amendments are in order.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union pursuant to H. Res. 692 and Rule XVIII.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** The Speaker designated the Honorable Steven C. LaTourette to act as Chairman of the Committee.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** GENERAL DEBATE - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with one hour of general debate on H.R. 4663.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 692, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Brady (TX) amendment.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Brady (TX) amendment, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the ayes had prevailed. Mr. Brady (TX) demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the rule, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 692, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Chocola amendment.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Chocola amendment, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Chocola demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the rule, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 692, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Castle amendment.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Castle amendment, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the ayes had prevailed. Mr. Spratt demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the rule, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 692, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Hensarling amendment.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Hensarling amendment, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the ayes had prevailed. Mr. Spratt demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the rule, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 692, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Hensarling amendment.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Hensarling amendment, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Spratt demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the rule, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union rises leaving H.R. 4663 as unfinished business.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** ORDER OF PROCEDURE - Mr. Dreier asked unanimous consent that during further consideration of H.R. 4663 pursuant to H. Res. 692, amendment number 18 in House Report 108-566 may be considered out of sequence in the Committee of the Whole, and that amendment may be withdrawn by its proponent after debate thereon. Agreed to

without objection.

- **Jun 24, 2004:** Considered as unfinished business. (CR 6/25/2004 H4961-5066)
- **Jun 24, 2004:** The House resolved into Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for further consideration.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 692 the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 30 minutes of debate on the Young (FL) substitute amendment.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 692 the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Kirk amendment.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Kirk amendment, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the ayes had prevailed. Mr. Kirk demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the rule, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions in H. Res. 692 the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Ryan (WI) amendment.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Ryan (WI) amendment, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the ayes had prevailed. Mr. Spratt demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the rule, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions in H. Res. 692 the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Ryan (WI) amendment.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Ryan (WI) amendment, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the ayes had prevailed. Mr. Ryan (WI) demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the rule, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 692, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Ryan (WI) amendment.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Ryan (WI) amendment, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the ayes had prevailed. Mr. Ryan (WI) demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the rule, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 692, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 30 minutes of debate on the Spratt amendment in the nature of a substitute.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Spratt amendment, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Spratt demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the rule, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** UNFINISHED BUSINESS - The Chair announced that the unfinished business was the question of adoption of amendments which had been debated earlier and on which further proceedings had been postponed.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 692, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 30 minutes of debate on the Hensarling amendment.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Hensarling amendment, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Hensarling demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the rule, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** DEBATE - Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 692, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 30 minutes of debate on the Kirk amendment.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Kirk amendment, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Kirk demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the rule, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** UNFINISHED BUSINESS - The Chair announced that the unfinished business was the question of adoption of amendments which had been debated earlier and on which further proceedings had been postponed.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** The House rose from the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union to report H.R. 4663.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** The previous question was ordered pursuant to the rule. (consideration: CR 6/25/2004 H5064)

Jun 24, 2004: The House adopted the amendments en gross as agreed to by the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

- **Jun 24, 2004:** Mr. Stenholm moved to recommit with instructions to The Budget. (consideration: CR 6/25/2004 H5064-5065)
- **Jun 24, 2004:** DEBATE - The House proceeded with 10 minutes of debate on the Stenholm motion to recommit with instructions. The instructions contained in the motion seek to require the bill to be reported back to the House with an amendment adding a new section which extends the Pay-As-You-Go Requirement, as specified in the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, until 2009.
- **Jun 24, 2004:** The previous question on the motion to recommit with instructions was ordered without objection.
- **Jun 23, 2004:** Introduced in House
- **Jun 23, 2004:** Introduced in House
- **Jun 23, 2004:** Referred to the House Committee on the Budget.