

HR 4568

Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2005

Congress: 108 (2003–2005, Ended)

Chamber: House

Policy Area: Economics and Public Finance

Introduced: Jun 15, 2004

Current Status: Received in the Senate and Read twice and referred to the Committee on Appropriations.

Latest Action: Received in the Senate and Read twice and referred to the Committee on Appropriations. (Jun 21, 2004)

Official Text: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/108th-congress/house-bill/4568>

Sponsor

Name: Rep. Taylor, Charles H. [R-NC-11]

Party: Republican • **State:** NC • **Chamber:** House

Cosponsors

No cosponsors are listed for this bill.

Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Appropriations Committee	House	Reported Original Measure	Jun 15, 2004
Appropriations Committee	Senate	Referred To	Jun 21, 2004

Subjects & Policy Tags

Policy Area:

Economics and Public Finance

Related Bills

Bill	Relationship	Last Action
108 HR 4818	Related bill	Dec 8, 2004: Became Public Law No: 108-447.
108 S 2804	Related bill	Sep 14, 2004: Placed on Senate Legislative Calendar under General Orders. Calendar No. 695.
108 HRES 674	Procedurally related	Jun 16, 2004: On agreeing to the resolution Agreed to by the Yeas and Nays: 428 - 1 (Roll no. 245). (text: CR H4191)

Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2005 - Makes appropriations for FY 2005 for the Department of the Interior for: (1) the Bureau of Land Management; (2) the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; (3) the National Park Service; (4) the U.S. Geological Survey; (5) the Minerals Management Service; (6) the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement; (7) the Bureau of Indian Affairs; (8) departmental offices for insular affairs; (9) departmental management (including transfer of funds); (10) the Office of the Solicitor; (11) the Office of Inspector General; (12) the Office of Special Trustee for American Indians; and (13) natural resource damage assessment and restoration.

Makes appropriations for FY 2005 for: (1) the Department of Agriculture for the Forest Service; (2) the Department of Energy for specified activities, including fossil energy research and development activities and energy conservation activities; and (3) the Department of Health and Human Services for the Indian Health Service.

Makes appropriations for FY 2005 for specified related agencies, including: (1) the Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation; (2) the Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts Development; (3) the Smithsonian Institution; (4) the National Gallery of Art; (5) the John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts; (6) the Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars; (7) the National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities; (8) the National Endowment for the Arts; (9) the National Endowment for the Humanities; (10) the Commission of Fine Arts; (11) the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation; (12) the National Capital Planning Commission; (13) the U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum; and (14) the Presidio Trust.

Actions Timeline

- **Jun 21, 2004:** Received in the Senate and Read twice and referred to the Committee on Appropriations.
- **Jun 17, 2004:** Considered as unfinished business. (consideration: CR 6/18/2004 H4435-4465)
- **Jun 17, 2004:** The House resolved into Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for further consideration.
- **Jun 17, 2004:** DEBATE - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with debate on the Hinchey amendment under the five-minute rule.
- **Jun 17, 2004:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Hinchey amendment, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Hinchey demanded a recorded vote and made a point of no quorum. Pursuant to the rule, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day and the point of no quorum was considered as withdrawn.
- **Jun 17, 2004:** DEBATE - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with debate on the Sanders amendment under the five-minute rule.
- **Jun 17, 2004:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Sanders amendment, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Sanders demanded a recorded vote. Pursuant to the rule, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day.
- **Jun 17, 2004:** DEBATE - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with debate on the Holt amendment under the five-minute rule.
- **Jun 17, 2004:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Holt amendment, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Holt demanded a recorded vote. Pursuant to the rule, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until later in the legislative day.
- **Jun 17, 2004:** DEBATE - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with debate on the Weiner amendment under the five-minute rule.
- **Jun 17, 2004:** DEBATE - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with debate on the Jackson-Lee amendment under the five-minute rule.
- **Jun 17, 2004:** DEBATE - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with debate on the Jackson-Lee amendment under the five-minute rule.
- **Jun 17, 2004:** UNFINISHED BUSINESS - The Chair announced that the unfinished business was the question of adoption of amendments which had been debated earlier and on which further proceedings had been postponed.
- **Jun 17, 2004:** The House rose from the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union to report H.R. 4568.
- **Jun 17, 2004:** The previous question was ordered pursuant to the rule.
- **Jun 17, 2004:** The House adopted the amendments en gross as agreed to by the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.
- **Jun 17, 2004:** Passed/agreed to in House: On passage Passed by the Yeas and Nays: 334 - 86 (Roll no. 264).
- **Jun 17, 2004:** Motion to reconsider laid on the table Agreed to without objection.
- **Jun 17, 2004:** On passage Passed by the Yeas and Nays: 334 - 86 (Roll no. 264).
- **Jun 16, 2004:** Rule H. Res. 674 passed House.
- **Jun 16, 2004:** Considered under the provisions of rule H. Res. 674. (consideration: CR H4207-4240; text of Title I as reported in House: CR H4211-4216, H4225-4227; text of Title II as reported in House: CR H4227, H4228-4229, H4230, H4231-4234; text of Title III as reported in House: CR H4234-4237; text of Title IV as reported in House: CR H4237-4238)
- **Jun 16, 2004:** Rule provides for consideration of H.R. 4568 with 1 hour of general debate. Previous question shall be considered as ordered except motion to recommit with or without instructions. Measure will be considered read. Bill is open to amendments.
- **Jun 16, 2004:** House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union pursuant to H. Res. 674 and Rule XVIII.
- **Jun 16, 2004:** The Speaker designated the Honorable Steven C. LaTourette to act as Chairman of the Committee.
- **Jun 16, 2004:** GENERAL DEBATE - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with one hour of general debate on H.R. 4568.
- **Jun 16, 2004:** DEBATE - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with debate on the Slaughter en bloc amendments

under the five minute rule.

- **Jun 16, 2004: POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS** - At the conclusion of debate on the Slaughter amendments en bloc, the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendments and by voice vote, announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Dicks demanded a recorded vote and made a point of no quorum. Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 674, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment and the point of no quorum was considered as withdrawn.
- **Jun 16, 2004: DEBATE** - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with debate on the Tancredo amendment under the five-minute rule.
- **Jun 16, 2004: POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS** - At the conclusion of debate on the Tancredo amendment the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Tancredo demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 674, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jun 16, 2004: DEBATE** - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with debate on the Hooley amendment under the five-minute rule.
- **Jun 16, 2004: POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS** - At the conclusion of debate on the Hooley amendment the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the noes had prevailed. Ms. Hooley demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 674, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jun 16, 2004:** Mr. Goodlatte raised a point of order against the content of the measure. Mr. Goodlatte stated that the matter on page 77, at the end of line 6, through line 8 constituted legislation in an appropriations bill. The Chair sustained the point of order. (consideration: CR H4230)
- **Jun 16, 2004:** Mr. Goodlatte raised a point of order against the content of the measure. Mr. Goodlatte stated that the proviso in section 319 constituted legislation in appropriations bill. The Chair sustained the point of order. (consideration: CR H4238)
- **Jun 16, 2004: UNFINISHED BUSINESS** - The Chair announced that the unfinished business was the question of adoption of amendments which had been debated earlier and on which further proceedings had been postponed.
- **Jun 16, 2004:** Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union rises leaving H.R. 4568 as unfinished business.
- **Jun 16, 2004:** Considered as unfinished business. (consideration: CR H4240-4267)
- **Jun 16, 2004:** The House resolved into Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for further consideration.
- **Jun 16, 2004: DEBATE** - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with debate on the Sanders amendment under the five-minute rule.
- **Jun 16, 2004: POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS** - At the conclusion of debate on the Sanders amendment the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Sanders demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 674, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jun 16, 2004: DEBATE** - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with debate on the Hunter amendment under the five-minute rule.
- **Jun 16, 2004: DEBATE** - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with debate on the Rahall amendment under the five-minute rule.
- **Jun 16, 2004: POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS** - At the conclusion of debate on the Rahall amendment the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Rahall demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 674, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jun 16, 2004: DEBATE** - By unanimous consent, the Committee of the Whole proceeded with 20 minutes of debate on the Chabot amendment, equally divided and controlled.
- **Jun 16, 2004: POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS** - At the conclusion of debate on the Chabot amendment the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Chabot demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 674, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
- **Jun 16, 2004: DEBATE** - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with debate on the Kaptur amendment under the five-minute rule.
- **Jun 16, 2004: DEBATE** - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with debate on the Udall (NM) amendment under the five-minute rule.

- Jun 16, 2004:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Udall (NM) amendment the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Udall (NM) demanded a recorded vote and made a point of no quorum. Pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 674, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment and the point of no quorum was considered as withdrawn.
- **Jun 16, 2004:** DEBATE - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with debate on the Hensarling amendment under the five-minute rule.
 - **Jun 16, 2004:** DEBATE - The Committee of the Whole proceeded with debate on the Flake amendment under the five-minute rule.
 - **Jun 16, 2004:** POSTPONED PROCEEDINGS - At the conclusion of debate on the Flake amendment the Chair put the question on adoption of the amendment and by voice vote, announced that the noes had prevailed. Mr. Flake demanded a recorded vote and pursuant to the provisions of H. Res. 674, the Chair postponed further proceedings on the question of adoption of the amendment until a time to be announced.
 - **Jun 16, 2004:** UNFINISHED BUSINESS - The Chair announced that the unfinished business was the question of adoption of amendments which had been debated earlier and on which further proceedings had been postponed.
 - **Jun 16, 2004:** Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union rises leaving H.R. 4568 as unfinished business.
 - **Jun 15, 2004:** Introduced in House
 - **Jun 15, 2004:** The House Committee on Appropriations reported an original measure, H. Rept. 108-542, by Mr. Taylor (NC).
 - **Jun 15, 2004:** The House Committee on Appropriations reported an original measure, H. Rept. 108-542, by Mr. Taylor (NC).
 - **Jun 15, 2004:** Placed on the Union Calendar, Calendar No. 314.
 - **Jun 15, 2004:** Rules Committee Resolution H. Res. 674 Reported to House. Rule provides for consideration of H.R. 4568 with 1 hour of general debate. Previous question shall be considered as ordered except motion to recommit with or without instructions. Measure will be considered read. Bill is open to amendments.