

S 1565

A bill relating to United States adherence to the ABM Treaty.

Congress: 107 (2001–2003, Ended)

Chamber: Senate

Policy Area: Armed Forces and National Security

Introduced: Oct 18, 2001

Current Status: Read twice and referred to the Committee on Armed Services.

Latest Action: Read twice and referred to the Committee on Armed Services. (Oct 18, 2001)

Official Text: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/107th-congress/senate-bill/1565>

Sponsor

Name: Sen. Feinstein, Dianne [D-CA]

Party: Democratic • **State:** CA • **Chamber:** Senate

Cosponsors (5 total)

Cosponsor	Party / State	Role	Date Joined
Sen. Corzine, Jon S. [D-NJ]	D · NJ		Oct 18, 2001
Sen. Feingold, Russell D. [D-WI]	D · WI		Oct 18, 2001
Sen. Harkin, Tom [D-IA]	D · IA		Oct 18, 2001
Sen. Leahy, Patrick J. [D-VT]	D · VT		Oct 18, 2001
Sen. Wyden, Ron [D-OR]	D · OR		Oct 18, 2001

Committee Activity

Committee	Chamber	Activity	Date
Armed Services Committee	Senate	Referred To	Oct 18, 2001

Subjects & Policy Tags

Policy Area:

Armed Forces and National Security

Related Bills

No related bills are listed.

Summary (as of Oct 18, 2001)

Expresses the sense of the Senate that: (1) the missile defense programs and activities of the United States should remain consistent with its obligations under the ABM Treaty; (2) the U.S. should consult with Russia and pursue modest modifications to address security considerations in, but not unilaterally abrogate or withdraw from, the Treaty; and (3) a national missile defense system should not be deployed until it has been tested using realistic parameters and is operationally effective and suitable for use in combat. Limits the obligation or expenditure of funds accordingly.

Requires that the Secretary of Defense certify that such a system is operationally effective before the President decides to deploy a missile defense system or to notify Russia of the U.S. intention to withdraw from the ABM treaty.

Directs the President to submit annual reports to Congress on: (1) the threat posed to the United States and its allies by the use of a weapon of mass destruction by a foreign state or transnational group; (2) the cost of the national missile defense system; and (3) whether the allocation of funds for such system will impair priority defense programs.

Actions Timeline

- **Oct 18, 2001:** Introduced in Senate
- **Oct 18, 2001:** Sponsor introductory remarks on measure. (CR S10836-10839)
- **Oct 18, 2001:** Read twice and referred to the Committee on Armed Services.